arXiv:alg-geom/9305005v1 12 May 1993

INEQUALITY OF BOGOMOLOV-GIESEKER'S TYPE ON ARITHMETIC SURFACES

Atsushi Moriwaki

Department of Mathematics
University of California at Los Angeles
Los Angeles, CA 90024, USA
e-mail : moriwaki@math.ucla.edu

April, 1993 (Revised Version)

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

Abstract. Let K be an algebraic number field, O_K the ring of integers of K, and $f : X \to \operatorname{Spec}(O_K)$ an arithmetic surface. Let (E, h) be a rank r Hermitian vector bundle on X such that $E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is semistable on the geometric generic fiber $X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ of f. In this paper, we will prove an arithmetic analogy of Bogomolov-Gieseker's inequality:

$$\widehat{c}_2(E,h) - \frac{r-1}{2r}\widehat{c}_1(E,h)^2 \geq 0.$$

Table of Contents

Introduction
1. Quick review of arithmetic intersection theory
2. Asymptotic behavior of analytic torsions
3. Hermitian modules over arithmetic curves
4. Asymptotic behavior of L^2-degree of submodules of $H^0(L^m)$
5. Vanishing of a certain Bott-Chern secondary class
6. Donaldson's Lagrangian and arithmetic second Chern class
7. Second fundamental form
8. Proof of the main theorem
9. Arithmetic second Chern character of semistable vector bundles
10. Torsion vector bundles

References

INTRODUCTION

Let M be an n-dimensional compact Kähler manifold with a Kähler form Φ. For a torsion free sheaf F on M, we define an averaged degree $\mu(F, \Phi)$ of F with respect to Φ by

$$\mu(F, \Phi) = \frac{\displaystyle\int_M c_1(F) \wedge \Phi^{n-1}}{\operatorname{rk} F}.$$

Let E be a torsion free sheaf on M. E is said to be Φ-*stable* (resp. Φ-*semisatble*) if, for every subsheaf F of E with $0 \subsetneq F \subsetneq E$, an inequality $\mu(F, \Phi) < \mu(E, \Phi)$ (resp. $\mu(F, \Phi) \leq \mu(E, \Phi)$) is satisfied. If a torsion free sheaf E of rank r is Φ-semistable, then we have

$$\int_M \left(c_2(E) - \frac{r-1}{2r} c_1(E)^2 \right) \wedge \Phi^{n-2} \geq 0,$$

which is called Bogomolov-Gieseker's inequality (cf. [Bo] and [Gi]). The purpose of this paper is to establish an arithmetic analogy of the above inequality, that is,

Main Theorem. *Let K be an algebraic number field, O_K the ring of integers of K, and $f : X \to \operatorname{Spec}(O_K)$ an arithmetic surface. Let (E, h) be a Hermitian vector bundle on X. If $E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is semistable on the geometric generic fiber $X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ of f, then we have an inequality*

$$\widehat{c}_2(E, h) - \frac{r-1}{2r} \widehat{c}_1(E, h)^2 \geq 0,$$

where $r = \operatorname{rk} E$ and $\widehat{c}_1(E, h)$ and $\widehat{c}_2(E, h)$ are arithmetic Chern classes introduced by Gillet-Soulé [GS90b].

The theory of stable vector bundles is closely related to the Yang-Mills theory. Let E be a vector bundle on the compact Kähler manifold M. E is said to be Φ-*poly-stable* if there is a direct sum $E = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_s$ such that E_i is Φ-stable for all $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $\mu(E_1) = \cdots = \mu(E_s)$. Moreover, let h be a Hermitian metric of E. h is called *Einstein-Hermitian* (resp. *weakly Einstein-Hermitian*) if there is a constant (resp. C^∞-function) φ such that $\sqrt{-1}\Lambda K(E, h) = \varphi \operatorname{id}_E$, where $K(E, h)$ is the curvature of (E, h). The fundamental theorem concerning Einstein-Hermitian metric is

Theorem A. (cf. [Do83], [Do85], [NS] and [UY]) *E has an Einstein-Hermitian metric if and only if E is Φ-poly-stable.*

The above theorem plays a crucial role for the proof of the main theorem. Here we give a rough sketch of the proof of the main theorem, which, I think, is a brief summary of this paper.

Step 1. A poly-stable vector bundle is semistable, but a semistable vector bundle is not necessarily poly-stable. This means that a semistable bundle does not necessarily have an Einstein-Hermitian metric. In general, a semistable vector bundle F has a filtration:

$$0 = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_{l-1} \subset F_l = F$$

such that F_i/F_{i-1} is stable for all i and $\mu(F_1/F_0) = \cdots = \mu(F_l/F_{l-1})$, which is called *a Jordan-Hölder filtration of F*. The first step of the proof of the main theorem is a reduction to the case where E is poly-stable on each infinite fiber. Unfortunately, arithmetic Chern classes are sensitive for extensions, that is, the Bott-Chern secondary characteristic appears in several formula for an exact sequence of Hermitian vector bundles. So we need an exact calculation of a certain secondary Bott-Chern characteristic, which will be treated in §7. It follows a good comparison of second Chern classes (cf. Corollary 7.4). Using this result, we can do our first step.

Step 2. By the step 1, we may assume that E is poly-stable on each infinite fiber. Thus by Theorem A, E has an Einstein-Hermitian metric h_{EH} on each infinite fiber. Here we need a comparison of

$$\widehat{c}_2(E,h) - \frac{r-1}{2r}\widehat{c}_1(E,h)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{c}_2(E,h_{EH}) - \frac{r-1}{2r}\widehat{c}_1(E,h_{EH})^2.$$

The following theorem guarantees that we may assume that h is Einstein-Hermitian.

Theorem C. (cf. Theorem 6.3) *Let K be an algebraic number field and O_K the ring of integers. We denote by K_∞ the set of all embeddings of K into $\mathbb{C}$. Let $f : X \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(O_K)$ be an arithmetic variety with $\dim X = d \geq 2$, and (H, h_H) a Hermitian line bundle on X such that, for each $\sigma \in K_\infty$, $c_1(H_\sigma, h_{H_\sigma})$ gives a Kähler form Φ_σ on an infinite fiber X_σ. Let E be a vector bundle of rank r on X. For a Hermitian metric h of E, we set*

$$\Delta(E,h) = \left(\widehat{c}_2(E,h) - \frac{r-1}{2r}\widehat{c}_1(E,h)^2\right) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(H,h_H)^{d-2}.$$

If E is Φ_σ-poly-stable on each infinite fiber X_σ, then we have;

(1) *the set $\Delta = \{\Delta(E,h) \mid h$ is a Hermitian metric of $E\}$ has the absolute minimal value.*

(2) *$\Delta(E,h_0)$ attaches the minimal value of Δ if and only if h_0 is weakly Einstein-Hermitian on each infinite fiber.*

Step 3. Let $\pi : \mathbb{P}(E) \longrightarrow X$ be the projective bundle of E and $\mathcal{O}(1)$ the tautological line bundle on $\mathbb{P}(E)$. We set $L = \mathcal{O}(r) \otimes \pi^*(\det E)^{-1}$. The arithmetic analogy of the Grothendieck relation (1.9.1) and Lemma 5.1 implies that

$$(L^{r+1}) \leq 0 \Longrightarrow \frac{r-1}{2r}\widehat{c}_1(E,h)^2 \leq \widehat{c}_2(E,h).$$

Thus, it is sufficient to show that $(L^{r+1}) \leq 0$.

Step 4. Let N be an ample line bundle on X with $\deg(N_K) > 2g(X_K) - 2$. The arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem due to Gillet-Soulé [GS92] shows us that

$$\chi_{L^2}(L^n \otimes \pi^*N) + \frac{1}{2}\tau((L^n \otimes \pi^*N)_\infty) = \deg(\widehat{\operatorname{ch}}(L^n \otimes \pi^*N) \cdot \widehat{\operatorname{td}}^A(T_{\mathbb{P}(E)/O_K})).$$

Since we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\deg(\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}(L^n \otimes \pi^* N) \cdot \widehat{\mathrm{td}}^A(T_{\mathbb{P}(E)/O_K}))}{n^{r+1}} = \frac{1}{(r+1)!}(L^{r+1}),$$

in order to get $(L^{r+1}) \leq 0$, it is sufficient to see that

(a) $\tau((L^n \otimes \pi^* N)_\infty) \leq O(n^r \log n)$.
(b) $\chi_{L^2}(L^n \otimes \pi^* N) \leq O(n^r \log n)$.

Step 5. (a) is a consequences of the following theorem, which is a generalization of [BV] and Proposition 2.7.8 of [Vo] to the case of semipositive line bundles.

Theorem C. (cf. Theorem 2.1) *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n, (L, h) a Hermitian line bundle on X, and (E, h_E) a Hermitian vector bundle on X. Let $\zeta_{q,d}$ be the zeta function of the Laplacian $\square_{q,d}$ on $A^{0,q}(L^d \otimes E)$. Let H_L be the Hermitian form corresponding to the curvature form $K(L, h)$ of L and k a non-negative integer. If $H_L(x)$ is positive semi-definite and $\operatorname{rk} H_L(x) \geq k$ for all $x \in X$, then for $n - k < q \leq n$ there is a constant C such that*

$$|\zeta'_{q,d}(0)| \leq C d^n \log(d)$$

for all $d \geq 0$.

Step 6. (b) can be derived from the following theorem.

Theorem D. (cf. Theorem 4.1) *Let K be an algebraic number field, O_K the ring of integers of K and $f : X \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(O_K)$ an arithmetic variety over O_K. Let (L, h) be a Hermitian line bundle on X, (E, h_E) a Hermitian vector bundle on X, and h_m a Hermitian metric of $H^0(X, L^m \otimes E)$ induced by $h^m \otimes h_E$. Then there is a constant C such that*

$$\frac{\deg_{L^2}(F, h_F)}{\operatorname{rk} F} \leq C m \log m$$

for all $m > 1$ and all submodules F of $H^0(X, L^m \otimes E)$, where h_F is the Hermitian metric induced by h_m.

In §9, we will consider an invariant of a semistable vector bundle arising from the arithmetic second Chern character. Let $f : X \to \operatorname{Spec}(O_K)$ be a regular arithmetic surface and E a vector bundle on X such that $\deg(E_K) = 0$ and $E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is semistable. We denote by $\operatorname{Herm}(E)$ the set of all Hermitian metrics of E. Here we set

$$\overline{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E) = \sup_{h \in \operatorname{Herm}(E)} \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E, h).$$

As a consequence of Theorem A, we can easily see that $\overline{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E)$ is a non-positive real number. Let $\overline{\boldsymbol{M}}_{X_K/K}(r, 0)$ be the moduli scheme of semistable vector bundles on X_K with rank r and degree 0. Our first question is

Question E. Is there a relation between $\overline{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E)$ and a height of the class $[E_K]$ in $\overline{\boldsymbol{M}}_{X_K/K}(r,0)$?

For example, if X_K is an elliptic curve, we can give an answer (cf. Corollary 9.7). For a curve of higher genus, it is still an open problem. To solve the above question, we think that first of all we must construct a certain canonical height of $\overline{\boldsymbol{M}}_{X_K/K}(r,0)$. Moreover, we think that the theta divisor on $\overline{\boldsymbol{M}}_{X_K/K}(r,0)$ gives rise to a canonical height.

In §10, we consider the equality condition of the inequality of the main theorem. For a geometric case, this is closely related to flat vector bundles. So we need an arithmetic analogy of flat vector bundles. We give one candidate for arithmetic flatness, that is, torsion vector bundles. (see Definition 10.6 for the definition of "of torsion type".) Our question concerning torsion vector bundles is the following.

Question F. Let X and E be as above. If $\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E,h)=0$, then is E of torsion type?

A partial answer is given in Proposition 10.8. Two questions are related to each other. For example, if $r=1$, E satisfies some numerical conditions and h is Einstein-Hermitian, then $\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E,h)=-[K:\mathbb{Q}]\,\mathrm{Height}([E_K])$ (c.f. Lemma 9.2), where Height is the Néron-Tate height on $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X_K)$. Thus, if $\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E,h)=0$, E_K is a torsion point of $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X_K)$.

Finally we would like to express hearty thanks to Prof. S. Zhang for his valuable suggestions.

1. Quick review of arithmetic intersection theory

In this section, we would like to fix several notations of this paper, which gives a quick review of arithmetic intersection theory. Details will be found in [GS90a], [GS90b], [Fa2] and [SABK].

1.1 Polynomial map associated with a formal power series ϕ. Let ϕ be a symmetric formal power series of n variables over $\mathbb{R}$, A an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra, and $M_n(A)$ the algebra of $(n \times n)$-matrices of A. We denote by $\phi^{(k)}$ the homogeneous component of ϕ of degree k. Then we can easily construct the unique polynomial map

$$\Phi^{(k)} : M_n(A) \longrightarrow A$$

such that $\Phi^{(k)}$ is invariant under conjugation by $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ and

$$\Phi^{(k)}\left(\mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)\right) = \phi^{(k)}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n).$$

When I is a nilpotent subalgebra, we may define

$$\Phi = \sum_k \Phi^{(k)} : M_n(I) \longrightarrow A.$$

Standard examples of power series are the following:

(Chern classes) $c_i = s_i(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$, where s_i is the i-th elementary symmetric polynomial.

(Total Chern class) $c = \sum_{i \geq 0} c_i$.

(Chern character) $\mathrm{ch}(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \exp(T_i)$.

(Todd class) $\mathrm{td}(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \dfrac{T_i}{1 - \exp(-T_i)}$.

1.2 Chern characteristic class attached to ϕ. Let M be a complex manifold and $A^{p,p}(M)$ the space of complex C^∞-forms of type (p,p). We put

$$A(M) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} A^{p,p}(M) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{A}(M) = A(M)/(\operatorname{Im}\partial + \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial}).$$

Here we set $d^c = \dfrac{\partial - \bar{\partial}}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}}$. Then we have $dd^c = \dfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}$. Let (E,h) be a Hermitian vector bundle on M of rank n, $K(E,h)$ the curvature of (E,h), and ϕ as above. The *characteristic class* of (E,h) attached to ϕ is defined by

$$\phi(E,h) = \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} K(E,h)\right) \in A(M).$$

1.3 Bott-Chern secondary characteristic class. Let $\mathcal{E} : 0 \to (S, h') \to (E, h) \to (Q, h'') \to 0$ be an exact sequence of Hermitian vector bundles on M. (Here h' and h'' are not necessarily the metrics induced by h.) We introduce the *Bott-Chern secondary characteristic class* $\tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{E})$ of $\mathcal{E}$ attached to ϕ. This class is characterized by the following three properties:

(i) $dd^c\tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{E}) = \phi(E, h) - \phi((S, h') \oplus (Q, h''))$.

(ii) For every homomorphism $f : N \longrightarrow M$ of complex manifolds,

$$\tilde{\phi}(f^*(\mathcal{E})) = f^*\tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{E}).$$

(iii) If $(E, h) = (S, h') \oplus (Q, h'')$, then $\tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{E}) = 0$.

(Note that the axiom (i) is different from [BGS] or [GS90b].)

For a later purpose, we will show how to construct it. We denote the homomorphism $S \longrightarrow E$ by α. Let $\mathbb{P}^1$ be the projective line, $\mathcal{O}(1)$ the tautological line bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$ and σ a section of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1))$ such that $\sigma(\infty) = 0$. Let $p : M \times \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow M$ and $q : M \times \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the canonical projections. We set

$$\tilde{E} = \operatorname{Coker}\left(p^*(\alpha) \oplus (\operatorname{id} \otimes \sigma) : p^*(S) \longrightarrow p^*(E) \oplus (p^*(S) \otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}(1)))\right).$$

Note that $\tilde{E}\Big|_{M\times\{0\}} \simeq E$ and $\tilde{E}\Big|_{M\times\{\infty\}} \simeq S \oplus Q$. Here we give a Hermitian metric $\tilde{h}$ on $\tilde{E}$ such that $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{h})\Big|_{M\times\{0\}}$ and $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{h})\Big|_{M\times\{\infty\}}$ are isometric to (E, h) and $(S, h') \oplus (Q, h'')$ respectively. Then $\tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{E})$ is given as follows:

$$\tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{E}) = \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \phi(\tilde{E}, \tilde{h}) \log |z|^2.$$

1.4 Green current. Here we assume that the complex manifold M is compact. We denote by $\mathcal{D}^{k,k}(M)$ the space of currents on M of type (k, k). Let Z be a cycle on M of codimension p. An element $g \in \mathcal{D}^{p-1,p-1}(M)$ is called a *green current* of Z if $dd^c g + \delta_Z$ is smooth. The smooth form $dd^c g + \delta_Z$ is denoted by $\omega(g)$. For example, let D be a divisor on M and h a Hermitian metric of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_M(D)$. Let s be a rational section of $\mathcal{O}_M(D)$ such that $\operatorname{div}(s) = D$. Then it is well known that

$$-dd^c \log(h(s, s)) + \delta_D = c_1(\mathcal{O}_M(D), h).$$

Thus $-\log(h(s, s))$ is a green current of D.

1.5 Analytic torsion. Moreover we assume that M is a Kähler manifold with a Kähler form Ω. Let (E, h) be a Hermitian vector bundle on M. Let $\square_q$ be the Laplacian on $A^{0,q}(E)$ and $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots$ eigenvalues of $\square_q$. We set

$$\zeta_q(s) = \sum_{i>0} \lambda_i^{-s}.$$

It is well known that ζ_q extends meromorphically to the complex plane and is holomorphic at $s = 0$. We define the *analytic torsion* $\tau(E, h)$ of (E, h) by

$$\tau(E,h) = \sum_{q>0} (-1)^q q \zeta'_q(0).$$

1.6 Arithmetic variety and arithmetic Chow group. Let K be an algebraic number field and O_K the ring of integers of K. We set $S = \mathrm{Spec}(O_K)$ and the set of $\mathbb{C}$-valued points of K, that is,

$$\{\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \sigma \text{ is an embedding of the field } K \text{ to } \mathbb{C}\},$$

is denoted by S_∞ or K_∞. A projective and flat morphism of integral schemes $\pi : X \longrightarrow S$ is called an *arithmetic variety over* O_K if the generic fiber of f is smooth. For an objects Ob of X, we denote by Ob_σ the pullback of Ob by an embedding $\sigma \in S_\infty$. Let $Z^p(X)$ be a free abelian group generated by cycles of codimension p. Let $\widehat{Z}^p(X)$ be a group of pairs $(Z, \sum_\sigma g_\sigma)$ such that $Z \in Z^p(X)$ and g_σ is a green current of Z_σ on X_σ. Let $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X)$ be the quotient group of $\widehat{Z}^p(X)$ divided by the subgroup generated by the following elements:

(a) $(\mathrm{div}(f), \sum_\sigma -\log|f_\sigma|^2)$, where f is a rational function on some subvariety Y of codimension $p-1$ and $\log|f_\sigma|^2$ is the current defined by

$$(\log|f_\sigma|^2)(\gamma) = \int_{Y_\sigma} (\log|f_\sigma|^2)\gamma.$$

(b) $(0, \sum_\sigma \partial(\alpha_\sigma) + \bar{\partial}(\beta_\sigma))$, where $\alpha_\sigma \in \mathcal{D}^{p-2,p-1}(X_\sigma)$, $\beta_\sigma \in \mathcal{D}^{p-1,p-2}(X_\sigma)$.

We define three homomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &: \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_\sigma A^{p,p}(X_\sigma), \\ z &: \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^p(X), \\ a &: \sum_\sigma \tilde{A}^{p-1,p-1}(X_\sigma) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X) \end{aligned}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(Z, \sum_\sigma g_\sigma) &= \sum_\sigma \left(dd^c g_\sigma + \delta_{Z_\sigma}\right), \\ z(Z, \sum_\sigma g_\sigma) &= Z, \\ a(\sum_\sigma g_\sigma) &= (0, \sum_\sigma g_\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Moreover, $\deg : \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^{\dim X}(X) \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\deg(\sum_{P \in X} n_P P, \sum_\sigma g_\sigma) = \sum_{P \in X} n_P \log \#(O_X/m_P) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_\sigma \int_{X_\sigma} g_\sigma,$$

where m_P is the maximal ideal of P.

1.7 First Chern class of Hermitian vector bundle. Let E be a vector bundle on the arithmetic variety X. We say (E, h) is a *Hermitian vector bundle* if, for all $\sigma \in S_\infty$, E_σ is equipped with a Hermitian metric h_σ. Let $s_1, \dots, s_n$ be rational sections of E such that $s_1, \dots, s_n$ give a basis of E at the generic point of X. $\widehat{c}_1(E, h)$ is defined by the class of

$$\left(\operatorname{div}(s_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge s_n), \sum_{\sigma \in S_\infty} - \log \det \begin{pmatrix} h_\sigma(s_1, s_1) & \cdots & h_\sigma(s_1, s_n) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_\sigma(s_n, s_1) & \cdots & h_\sigma(s_n, s_n) \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

1.8 Intersection of arithmetic cycles. We set

$$\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}(X) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X).$$

$\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has a natural pairing

$$\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^{p+q}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

defined by

$$(Y, \sum_\sigma g_{Y_\sigma}) \cdot (Z, \sum_\sigma g_{Z_\sigma}) = (Y \cdot Z, \sum_\sigma g_{Y_\sigma} * g_{Z_\sigma}),$$

where $g_{Y_\sigma} * g_{Z_\sigma} = g_{Y_\sigma} \delta_{Z_\sigma} + \omega(g_{Y_\sigma}) g_{Z_\sigma}$. In particular,

$$\widehat{c}_1(L, h) \cdot (0, \sum_\sigma g_\sigma) = (0, \sum_\sigma c_1(L_\sigma, h_\sigma) g_\sigma).$$

1.9 Arithmetic characteristic classes. Let ϕ be a symmetric formal power series of n variables with real coefficients. Let (E, h) be a Hermitian vector bundle of rank n on the arithmetic variety X. We introduce the *characteristic class*

$$\hat{\phi}(E, h) \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

attached to ϕ. This is characterized by the following four axioms (cf. Theorem 4.1 of [GS90b]) :

(I) For every morphism $f : Y \longrightarrow X$ of arithmetic varieties,

$$f^*(\hat{\phi}(E, h)) = \hat{\phi}(f^*(E, h)).$$

(II) If (E, h) is a direct summand of Hermitian line bundles, i.e.

$$(E, h) = (L_1, h_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (L_n, h_n),$$

then we get

$$\hat{\phi}(E,h)=\phi(\widehat{c}_1(L_1,h_1),\dots,\widehat{c}_1(L_n,h_n)).$$

(III) If we set

$$\phi(T_1+T,\dots,T_n+T)=\sum_{i\geq 0}\phi_i(T_1,\dots,T_n)T^i,$$

for a Hermitian line bundle (L,h'),

$$\hat{\phi}((E,h)\otimes(L,h'))=\sum_{i\geq 0}\hat{\phi}_i(E,h)\widehat{c}_1(L,h')^i.$$

(IV) $\omega(\hat{\phi}(E,h))=\sum_\sigma \phi(E_\sigma,h_\sigma)$.

Let $\mathcal{E}:0\to(S,h')\to(E,h)\to(Q,h'')\to 0$ be an exact sequence of Hermitian vector bundles on X. An important property of $\hat{\phi}$ is

$$\hat{\phi}(E,h)-\hat{\phi}((S,h')\oplus(Q,h''))=a(\sum_\sigma\tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{E}_\sigma)).$$

For example, if we put

$$\hat{c}_t(E,h)=\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{rk}E}(-1)^i\hat{c}_i(E,h)t^{n-i},$$

we have

$$\hat{c}_t(E,h)-\hat{c}_t(S,h')\hat{c}_t(Q,h'')=a\left(\sum_\sigma\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{rk}E}(-1)^i\tilde{c}_i(\mathcal{E}_\sigma)t^{n-i}\right).$$

We apply this formula to the following special situation. Let $f:\mathbb{P}(E)\longrightarrow X$ be the projective bundle of E, $Q=\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ the tautological line bundle of $\mathbb{P}(E)$ and S the kernel of $f^*(E)\longrightarrow Q$. We give the submetric h' on S and the quotient metric h'' on Q induced by f^*h. Applying the above formula to the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{E}:0\to(S,h')\to(f^*E,f^*h)\to(Q,h'')\to 0,$$

we get

$$\hat{c}_t(f^*E,f^*h)-\hat{c}_t(S,h')\hat{c}_t(Q,h'')=a\left(\sum_\sigma\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{rk}E}(-1)^i\tilde{c}_i(\mathcal{E}_\sigma)t^{n-i}\right),$$

which implies

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{rk}E}(-1)^i f^*\widehat{c}_i(E,h)\widehat{c}_1(Q,h'')^{n-i}=a\left(\sum_\sigma\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{rk}E}(-1)^i\tilde{c}_i(\mathcal{E}_\sigma)c_1(Q_\sigma,h''_\sigma)^{n-i}.\right),\tag{1.9.1}$$

by evaluating $t=\widehat{c}_1(Q,h'')$. This is an arithmetical analogy of the Grothendieck relation. Conversely, the relation (1.9.1) defines $\widehat{c}_i(E,h)$. To see this, we will prove the following fact.

Claim 1.9.2.

(1) *A homomorphism* $\psi : \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}(X)^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}(Y)$ *by*

$$\psi(x_0, \cdots, x_{n-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f^*(x_i)\widehat{c}_1(Q, h'')^i$$

is injective, where $n = \operatorname{rk} E$ *and* $Y = \mathbb{P}(E)$.

(2) *The image of* ψ *is*

$$\{x \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}(Y) \mid \omega(x) \in \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_\sigma^*(A(X_\sigma))c_1(Q_\sigma, h''_\sigma)^i\}.$$

Proof. (1) can be easily checked by the formula:

$$f_*(f^*(\gamma)\widehat{c}_1(Q, h'')^i) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le i < n-1, \\ \gamma, & i = n-1. \end{cases}$$

Next we consider (2). Let x be an element of $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}(Y)$ such that

$$\omega(x) \in \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_\sigma^*(A(X_\sigma))c_1(Q_\sigma, h''_\sigma)^i.$$

Since ψ is bijective on finite part, we may assume that $z(x) = 0$. Thus we can set $x = (0, \sum_\sigma g_\sigma)$ with $g_\sigma \in A(Y_\sigma)$. Here we put

$$\omega(x) = \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f^*(u_{\sigma,i})c_1(Q_\sigma, h''_\sigma)^i.$$

Then

$$dd^c(g_\sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f^*(u_{\sigma,i})c_1(Q_\sigma, h''_\sigma)^i.$$

Using integration along f_σ, we can find $v_{\sigma,i}$ with $dd^c v_{\sigma,i} = u_{\sigma,i}$. (For example $v_{\sigma,n-1} = \int_{f_\sigma} g_\sigma$.) Then

$$\omega\left(x - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f^*(0, \sum_{\sigma} v_{\sigma,i})\widehat{c}_1(Q, h'')^i\right) = 0.$$

Thus we may assume that $\omega(x) = 0$, which implies g_σ is harmonic up to $\operatorname{Im}\partial + \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial}$. Hence by the structure of cohomology ring $H^*(Y_\sigma, \mathbb{R})$, g_σ can be written as the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{\sigma,i} c_1(Q_\sigma, h''_\sigma)^i$$

up to $\operatorname{Im}\partial + \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial}$. Therefore $x = \sum_{i=0}^{n} f^*(0, \sum_\sigma g_{\sigma,i}) \widehat{c}_1(Q, h'')^i$.

Let us go back to the construction of $\widehat{c}_i(E, h)$. By this claim, there is a unique sequence $\{c_1, \ldots, c_n\}$ of $\widehat{\mathrm{CH}}(X)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i f^*(c_i) \widehat{c}_1(Q, h'')^{n-i} = a\left(\sum_\sigma \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \tilde{c}_i(\mathcal{E}_\sigma) c_1(Q_\sigma, h''_\sigma)^{n-i}\right) - \widehat{c}_1(E, h)^n.$$

Hence we may set $\widehat{c}_i(E, h) = c_i$.

1.10 L^2-degree of Hermitian module. Let V be O_K-module of finite rank. A pair (V, h) is called *a Hermitian module* if we give a Hermitian metric h_σ on V_σ for each $\sigma \in K_\infty$. For example, O_K has the canonical metric can_K induced by each embedding $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We define L^2-degree $\deg_{L^2}(V, h)$ of (V, h) by

$$\begin{aligned}\deg_{L^2}(V, h) = \log \# &\left(\frac{V}{O_K x_1 + \cdots + O_K x_t}\right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_\infty} \log\det \begin{pmatrix} h_\sigma(x_1, x_1) & \cdots & h_\sigma(x_1, x_t) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_\sigma(x_t, x_1) & \cdots & h_\sigma(x_t, x_t) \end{pmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

where $x_1, \ldots, x_t \in M$ and $\{x_1, \ldots, x_t\}$ is a basis of $M \otimes K$. Using the Hasse product formula, it is easily checked that $\deg_{L^2}(M, h)$ does not depend on the choice of $\{x_1, \ldots, x_t\}$.

1.11 Arithmetic Riemann-Roch Theorem. Finally we explain the arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem. Let $\pi : X \longrightarrow S$ an arithmetic variety. We give a Kähler form Ω_σ for each infinite fiber X_σ. Let (E, h) be a Hermitian vector bundle on X. Using this Kähler form, we can give a metric of $H^q(X_\sigma, E_\sigma)$ by identifying $H^q(M_\sigma, E_\sigma)$ with the space of harmonic forms $\boldsymbol{H}^{0,q}(E_\sigma)$. Hence we can define $\deg_{L^2}(H^q(X, E))$. Thus we have L^2-Euler character

$$\chi_{L^2}(E, h) = \sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q \deg_{L^2}(H^q(X, E)).$$

To state arithmetic Riemann-Roch, we need to mention about the arithmetic Todd character. Let $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n>0} n^{-s}$ be the standard zeta function and we define a formal power series $R(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ as

$$\sum_{\substack{m \geq 1 \\ m:\text{odd}}} \frac{1}{m!} \left(2\zeta'(-m) + \zeta(-m)(1 + 1/2 + 1/3 + \cdots + 1/m)\right) (T_1^m + \cdots + T_n^m).$$

The arithmetic Todd character is defined by

$$\widehat{\mathrm{td}}^A(T_{X/S}, \Omega) = \widehat{\mathrm{td}}(T_{Y/S}, \Omega)(1 - a(\sum_{\sigma} R(T_{X_\sigma}, \Omega_\sigma))).$$

The arithmetic Riemann-Roch due to Gillet-Soulé [GS92] is as follows:

$$\chi_{L^2}(E, h) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_\infty} \tau(E_\sigma, h_\sigma) = \deg(\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}(E, h) \cdot \widehat{\mathrm{td}}^A(T_{Y/S}, \Omega)). \tag{1.11.1}$$

2. Asymptotic behavior of analytic torsions

In this section, we will consider asymptotic behavior of analytic torsions of powers of a semi-positive line bundle. The main theorem of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n, (L, h) a Hermitian line bundle on X, and (E, h_E) a Hermitian vector bundle on X. Let $\zeta_{q,d}$ be the zeta function of the Laplacian $\square_{q,d}$ on $A^{0,q}(L^d \otimes E)$. Let H_L be the Hermitian form corresponding to the curvature form $K(L, h)$ of L and k a non-negative integer. If $H_L(x)$ is positive semi-definite and $\operatorname{rk} H_L(x) \geq k$ for all $x \in X$, then for $n - k < q \leq n$ there is a constant C such that*

$$|\zeta'_{q,d}(0)| \leq C d^n \log(d)$$

for all $d \geq 0$.

First we prepare the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *With notation as in Theorem 2.1, if $H_L(x)$ is positive semi-definite and $\operatorname{rk} H_L(x) \geq k$ for all $x \in X$, then, for $n - k < q \leq n$, there is a positive constant $c_{L,q}$ such that $c_{L,q}$ depends only on (L, h) and q, and that*

$$-\sqrt{-1}\langle(\Lambda e(K_L) - e(K_L)\Lambda)\phi, \phi\rangle(x) \geq c_{L,q}\langle\phi, \phi\rangle(x)$$

for all $\phi \in A^{n,q}(E)$ and $x \in X$. In particular, integrating the above inequality, we have

$$-\sqrt{-1}\,((\Lambda e(K_L) - e(K_L)\Lambda)\phi, \phi) \geq c_{L,q}(\phi, \phi).$$

Proof. First we claim:

Claim 2.2.1. *There is a positive constant c such that, for all $x \in X$, we can take an orthogonal basis $\{w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$ of $T_{X,x}$ with*

$$\begin{cases} H_L(x)(w_i, w_j) = h_j\delta_{ij} \\ h_i \geq c \quad (1 \leq i \leq k). \end{cases}$$

For a point $p \in X$, there is an open neighborhood U_p of p and a C^∞-subvector bundle F_p of T_{U_p} such that $\operatorname{rk} F_p = k$ and $H_L|_{F_p}$ is positive definite. Shrinking U_p if necessarily, we may assume that there is a positive constant c_p such that $H_L(y)(v, v) \geq c_p$ for all $y \in U_p$ and all $v \in (F_p)(y)$ with $||v|| = 1$. Since X is compact, we have $p_1, \ldots, p_s \in X$ with $\bigcup_{t=1}^{s} U_{p_t} = X$. We set $c = \min\{c_{p_1}, \ldots, c_{p_s}\}$. Let x be an arbitrary point of X. If $x \in U_{p_t}$, then we have an orthogonal basis $\{w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$ of $T_{X,x}$ such that $w_1, \ldots, w_k \in (F_{p_t})(x)$ and $H_L(x)(w_i, w_j) = h_i\delta_{ij}$. Thus $h_i \geq c_{p_t} \geq c$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Therefore we get our claim.

Let $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ be an orthogonal basis of $T_{X,x}$ as in Claim 2.2.1 and $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$ the dual basis of $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$. We set

$$\phi = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_q} \phi_{i_1, \dots, i_q} \theta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_n \wedge \bar{\theta}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\theta}_{i_q}.$$

Then by the formula due to Gigante (cf. p.70, (3.6) in [Ko]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\sqrt{-1}\langle(\Lambda e(K_L) - e(K_L)\Lambda)\phi, \phi\rangle(x) &= \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_q} (h_{i_1} + \cdots + h_{i_q}) ||\phi_{i_1, \dots, i_q}||^2_E(x) \\ &\geq \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_q} (q - n + k)c ||\phi_{i_1, \dots, i_q}||^2_E(x) \\ &= (q - n + k)c\langle\phi, \phi\rangle(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain our lemma by setting $c_{L,q} = (q - n + k)c$. $\square$

2.3. Let us start the proof of Theorem 2.1. The idea of this proof is found in [BV] and [Vo]. Let $\lambda_{q,d}$ be the minimal eigenvalue of the Laplacian $\square_{q,d}$. By Lemma 2.7.7 of [Vo], it is sufficient to see that there are constants $c > 0$ and $c' \geq 0$ such that

$$\lambda_{q,d} \geq cd - c'.$$

Let $\square'_{q,d}$ be the Laplacian on $A^{n,q}(L^d \otimes E \otimes \omega_X^{-1})$. As mentioned in the proof of Theorem 1 of [BV], $\square_{q,d}$ and $\square'_{q,d}$ have the same spectrum. We set $E' = E \otimes \omega_X^{-1}$. Let $K_{E'}$ and K_d be curvature forms of E' and $L^d \otimes E'$ respectively. Then we have

$$K_d = dK_L + \mathrm{id} \otimes K_{E'}.$$

For $\phi \in A^{n,q}(L^d \otimes E')$, by an easy calculation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\square'_{q,d}\phi, \phi) &= ||D'\phi||^2 + ||\delta'\phi||^2 - \sqrt{-1}\left((\Lambda e(K_d) - e(K_d)\Lambda)\phi, \phi\right) \\ &\geq -d\sqrt{-1}\left((\Lambda e(K_L) - e(K_L)\Lambda)\phi, \phi\right) \\ &\qquad - \sqrt{-1}\left((\Lambda(\mathrm{id} \otimes e(K_{E'})) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes e(K_{E'}))\Lambda)\phi, \phi\right), \end{aligned}$$

where D' is $(1,0)$-part of the Hermitian connection of $L^d \otimes E'$ and δ' is the adjoint operator of D'. By virtue of Lemma 2.2, there is a positive constant c such that

$$-\sqrt{-1}\left((\Lambda e(K_L) - e(K_L)\Lambda)\phi, \phi\right) \geq c(\phi, \phi)$$

for all $\phi \in A^{q,n}(L^d \otimes E')$. On the other hand, we can easily find $c' \geq 0$ such that

$$-\sqrt{-1}\left((\Lambda(\mathrm{id} \otimes e(R_{E'})) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes e(R_{E'}))\Lambda)\phi, \phi\right) \geq -c'(\phi, \phi)$$

for all $\phi \in A^{q,n}(L^d \otimes E')$. Therefore we have an inequality

$$(\square'_{d,q}\phi, \phi) \geq (cd - c')(\phi, \phi).$$

We choose $\phi \in A^{q,n}(L^d \otimes E')$ such that $\square'_{q,d}\phi = \lambda_{q,d}\phi$ and $(\phi, \phi) = 1$. Thus using the above inequality, we obtain

$$\lambda_{q,n} \geq cd - c'.$$

$\square$

Corollary 2.4. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n, (L, h) a Hermitian line bundle on X, and (E, h_E) a Hermitian vector bundle on X. For the Hermitian form H_L corresponding to the curvature form of (L, h), we assume that $H_L(x)$ is positive semi-definite and $\operatorname{rk} H_L(x) \geq n-1$ for all $x \in X$. Then there is a positive constant C such that*

$$\tau(L^d \otimes E) \leq C d^n \log(d)$$

for all $d \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $\zeta_{q,d}$ be the zeta function of the Laplacian on $A^{0,q}(L^d \otimes E)$. Then

$$\tau(L^d \otimes E) = -\zeta'_{1,d}(0) + \sum_{q \geq 2} (-1)^q q \zeta'_{q,d}(0).$$

By virtue of Theorem 2.1, we have a positive constant C_1 such that

$$\sum_{q \geq 2} (-1)^q q \zeta'_{q,d}(0) \leq C_1 d^n \log(d).$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.7.6 of [Vo], for some positive C_2, we get

$$\zeta'_{1,d}(0) \geq -C_2 d^n \log(d).$$

Thus we obtain our corollary. $\square$

3. HERMITIAN MODULES OVER ARITHMETIC CURVES

In this section, we will discuss Hermitian modules over arithmetic curves. Some results are found in [St] and [Gr]. For reader's convenience, we will give however an explicit proof for each result.

Let V be a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space, h_V a Hermitian metric on V and W a subvector space of V. The metric h_V induces a metric h_W of W, which is called *the submetric of* W *induced by* h_V. Let $W^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal complement of W. Then the natural homomorphism $W^{\perp} \longrightarrow V/W$ is isomorphic. Thus we have a metric $h_{V/W}$ of V/W. This metric is called *the quotient metric of* V/W *induced by* h_V.

Lemma 3.1. *With notation as above, let* $x_1, \dots, x_s$ *be elements of* W *and* $x_{s+1}, \dots, x_n$ *elements of* V *such that* $\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ *is a basis of* W, $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ *is a basis of* V *and* $\{\bar{x}_{s+1}, \dots, \bar{x}_n\}$ *is a basis of* V/W, *where* $\bar{x}_{s+1}, \dots, \bar{x}_n$ *are images of* $x_{s+1}, \dots, x_n$ *in* V/W. *Then we have*

$$\begin{vmatrix} h_V(x_1, x_1) & \cdots & h_V(x_1, x_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ h_V(x_n, x_1) & \cdots & h_V(x_n, x_n) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} h_W(x_1, x_1) & \cdots & h_W(x_1, x_s) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ h_W(x_s, x_1) & \cdots & h_W(x_s, x_s) \end{vmatrix} \times$$
$$\begin{vmatrix} h_{V/W}(\bar{x}_{s+1}, \bar{x}_{s+1}) & \cdots & h_{V/W}(\bar{x}_{s+1}, \bar{x}_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ h_{V/W}(\bar{x}_n, \bar{x}_{s+1}) & \cdots & h_{V/W}(\bar{x}_n, \bar{x}_n) \end{vmatrix}$$

Proof. Let $x_i = y_i + z_i$ be decompositions such that $y_i \in W$ and $z_i \in W^{\perp}$. Then it is easy to see that

$$\begin{vmatrix} h_{V/W}(\bar{x}_{s+1}, \bar{x}_{s+1}) & \cdots & h_{V/W}(\bar{x}_{s+1}, \bar{x}_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ h_{V/W}(\bar{x}_n, \bar{x}_{s+1}) & \cdots & h_{V/W}(\bar{x}_n, \bar{x}_n) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} h_V(z_{s+1}, z_{s+1}) & \cdots & h_V(z_{s+1}, z_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ h_V(z_n, z_{s+1}) & \cdots & h_V(z_n, z_n) \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_s, z_{s+1}, \dots, z_n)U, \quad \text{where} \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} I_s & * \\ 0 & I_{n-s} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_V(x_1,x_1) & \cdots & h_V(x_1,x_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ h_V(x_n,x_1) & \cdots & h_V(x_n,x_n) \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$U \begin{pmatrix} h_W(x_1,x_1) & \cdots & h_W(x_1,x_s) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ h_W(x_s,x_1) & \cdots & h_W(x_s,x_s) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & h_V(z_{s+1},z_{s+1}) & \cdots & h_V(z_{s+1},z_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & h_V(z_n,z_{s+1}) & \cdots & h_V(z_n,z_n) \end{pmatrix} {}^t\bar{U}.$$

Thus we have our lemma. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let K be an algebraic number field and O_K the ring of integers of K. Let $0 \to S \to E \to Q \to 0$ be an exact sequence of O_K-modules and h', h and h'' Hermitian metrics of S, E and Q respectively. If, for each infinite place σ of K, h'_σ is the submetric of h_σ and h''_σ is the quotient metric of h_σ, then we have*

$$\deg_{L^2}(E,h) = \deg_{L^2}(S,h') + \deg_{L^2}(Q,h'').$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.1. □

Let K be an algebraic number field and O_K the ring of integers of K. Let (E,h) be a Hermitian module over O_K. We define an averaged L^2-degree $\mu_{L^2}(E,h)$ of (E,h) as follows:

$$\mu_{L^2}(E,h) = \frac{\deg_{L^2}(E,h)}{\operatorname{rk} E}.$$

Proposition 3.3. *With notation as above, we set $S_K = \operatorname{Spec}(O_K)$. Let h' be another Hermitian metrics of E. Assume that, for each $\sigma \in (S_K)_\sigma$, there is a constant C_σ such that*

$$h_\sigma(x,x) \le C_\sigma h'_\sigma(x,x)$$

for all $x \in E_\sigma$. Then we have

$$\mu_{L^2}(E,h') \le \mu_{L^2}(E,h) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma \in (S_K)_\infty} \log C_\sigma$$

Proof. Clearly it is sufficient to show the following lemma. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let V be a vector space over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$ and h and h' Hermitian metrics on V such that $h(x,x) \leq h'(x,x)$ for all $x \in V$. Let $e_1, \dots, e_n$ be a basis of V. Then we have* $\det(h(e_i,e_j)) \leq \det(h'(e_i,e_j))$. *Moreover the equality holds if and only if $h = h'$.*

Proof. We set $H = (h(e_i,e_j))$ and $H' = (h'(e_i,e_j))$. Let U be an unitary matrix such that $h'(Ue_i, Ue_j) = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$. Here we put $h_{ij} = h(Ue_i, Ue_j)$. Then by virtue of Hadamard's inequality we have

$$\det H = \det {}^tUH\overline{U} \leq h_{11} \cdots h_{nn} \leq \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n = \det {}^tUH'\overline{U} = \det H'.$$

Furthermore, if the equality holds, then $h_{ij} = 0$ for all $i \neq j$ and $h_{ii} = \lambda_i$ for all i by the equality condition of Hadamard's inequality. Thus ${}^tUH\overline{U} = {}^tUH'\overline{U}$. Hence $h = h'$. ☐

Let $k \subseteq K$ be an extension of algebraic number fields and O_k and O_K the ring of integers of k and K respectively. We set $S_k = \operatorname{Spec}(O_k)$ and $S_K = \operatorname{Spec}(O_K)$ and denote by f the natural morphism $S_K \longrightarrow S_k$. If for $\sigma \in (S_k)_\infty$ we set

$$f_\infty^{-1}(\sigma) = \{\sigma' \in (S_K)_\infty \mid \sigma'|_k = \sigma\},$$

we have a natural isomorphism

$$f_*(V) \overset{\sigma}{\underset{k}{\otimes}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\sigma' \in f_\infty^{-1}(\sigma)} V \overset{\sigma'}{\underset{K}{\otimes}} \mathbb{C},$$

where $f_*(V) \overset{\sigma}{\underset{k}{\otimes}} \mathbb{C}$ is a tensor product by the embedding $\sigma : k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Thus we have a metric $h'(\sigma)$ on $f_*(V) \overset{\sigma}{\underset{k}{\otimes}} \mathbb{C}$ by the above isomorphism. We denote this Hermitian module on S_k by (f_*V, f_*h) and call it the push-forward of (V,h). The Riemann-Roch theorem in this situation asserts

$$\deg_{L^2}(f_*V, f_*h) = \deg_{L^2}(V,h) + (\operatorname{rk} V) \deg_{L^2}(f_*O_K, f_* \operatorname{can}_K).$$

Proposition 3.5. *Let (E,h) be a Hermitian module on S_K. Then the set*

$$\{\mu_{L^2}(F, h_F) \mid F \textit{ is a sub-sheaf of } E \textit{ and } h_F \textit{ is the induced metric by } h.\}$$

is discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}$ and bounded as above.

Proof. We set

$$M_l(E,h) = \left\{ \deg_{L^2}(F, h_F) \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} F \text{ is a sub-sheaf of } E \text{ with } \operatorname{rk}(F) = l \text{ and} \\ h_F \text{ is the induced metric by } h. \end{array} \right\}$$

Then we have

$$\{\mu_{L^2}(F,h_F) \mid F \text{ is a sub-sheaf of } E \text{ and } h_F \text{ is the induced metric by } h.\} = M_0(E,h) \cup M_1(E,h) \cup \cdots \cup \frac{1}{l} M_l(E,h) \cup \cdots \cup \frac{1}{\operatorname{rk} E} M_{\operatorname{rk} E}(E,h).$$

Thus it is sufficient to see that $M_l(E,h)$ is discrete on $\mathbb{R}$ and bounded as above for each l. Let E_{tor} be the torsion part of E and $\bar{E} = E/E_{tor}$. Let F be a sub-sheaf of E with $\operatorname{rk} F = l$, F_{tor} the torsion part of F and $\bar{F} = F/F_{tor}$. Then,

$$\deg_{L^2}(F,h_F) = \log \#(F_{tor}) + \deg_{L^2}(\bar{F}, h_{\bar{F}}), \quad \bar{F} \subseteq \bar{E} \quad \text{and} \quad F_{tor} \subseteq E_{tor}.$$

Thus we have

$$M_l(E,h) \subseteq M_l(\bar{E},h) + \{\log(i) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq \#(E_{tor})\}$$

Therefore we may assume E is torsion free.

If we denote by f the natural morphism $S_K \longrightarrow S_{\mathbb{Q}}$, by Riemann-Roch theorem, we have

$$\deg_{L^2}(f_*F, f_*h_F) = \deg_{L^2}(F,h_F) + l \deg_{L^2}(f_*O_K, f_* \operatorname{can}_K)$$

implies

$$M_l(E,h) \subseteq M_{ln}(f_*E, f_*h) - l \deg_{L^2}(f_*O_K, f_* \operatorname{can}_K),$$

where $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$. Thus we may assume $K = \mathbb{Q}$. Since $M_l(E,h) \subseteq M_1(\bigwedge^l E, \bigwedge^l h)$, we may furthermore assume $l = 1$.

We set $E = \mathbb{Z}x_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}x_r$ and

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} h(x_1,x_1) & \cdots & h(x_1,x_r) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ h(x_r,x_1) & \cdots & h(x_r,x_r) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since H is a Hermitian matrix, there is an unitary matrix U such that

$$H = {}^tU \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r) \bar{U}$$

and $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_r$. Let F be a sub-module of E of rank 1. We set $F = \mathbb{Z}(a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_rx_r)$, $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(1 \leq i \leq r)$ and $(b_1, \ldots, b_r) = (a_1, \ldots, a_r){}^tU$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_{L^2}(F,h_F) &= -\frac{1}{2} \log h((a_1, \ldots, a_r), (a_1, \ldots, a_r)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \log(\lambda_1 |b_1|^2 + \cdots + \lambda_r |b_r|^2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus since $|a_1|^2 + \cdots + |a_r|^2 = |b_1|^2 + \cdots + |b_r|^2$, we have

$$-\frac{1}{2}\log(\lambda_r(|a_1|^2 + \cdots + |a_r|^2)) \leq \deg_{L^2}(F, h_F) \leq -\frac{1}{2}\log(\lambda_1(|a_1|^2 + \cdots + |a_r|^2))$$

Thus $\deg(F, h_F) \leq -\dfrac{1}{2}\log(\lambda_1)$, which shows $M_1(E, h)$ is bounded as above. Here we assume that $M_1(E, h)$ is not discrete in $\mathbb{R}$. Then there is a sequence $\{F_n\}$ such that F_n are distinct rank 1 sub-sheaves of E and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\deg_{L^2}(F_n, h_{F_n})$ exits. We set $F_n = \mathbb{Z}(a_1^{(n)}x_1 + \cdots + a_r^{(n)}x_r)$. Since F_n are distinct, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |a_1^{(n)}|^2 + \cdots + |a_r^{(n)}|^2 = \infty.$$

Thus by the above inequality, $\lim_{n\to\infty}\deg_{L^2}(F_n, h_{F_n}) = -\infty$. This is a contradiction. $\square$

Proposition 3.6. *Let $k \subseteq K$ be an extension of algebraic number fields and O_k and O_K the ring of integers of k and K respectively. We denote by f the natural morphism* $\operatorname{Spec}(O_K) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(O_k)$. *For a Hermitian module (L, h) on* $\operatorname{Spec}(O_K)$ *of rank* 1, *there is a constant C such that*

$$\mu_{L^2}(F, h_F) \leq Cm$$

for all $m \geq 1$ and all O_k-submodule F of f_*L^m, where h_F is the induced metric by f_*h^m.

Proof. First we claim that we may assume $L = O_K$. Let x be a non-zero element of L. We define a homomorphism $\phi_m : O_K \longrightarrow L^m$ by $\phi_m(1) = x \otimes \cdots \otimes x$. Let h_1 be the induced metric of O_K by ϕ_1. Then clearly the induced metric by ϕ_m is equal to h_1^m. We set $Q_m = \operatorname{Coker}(\phi_m : O_K \longrightarrow L^m)$. We consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \to f_*O_K \to f_*L^m \to f_*Q_m \to 0.$$

Let F be a O_k-submodule of f_*L^m. Then,

$$\deg_{L^2}(F) \leq \deg_{L^2}(F \cap f_*O_K) + \log \#(Q_m).$$

Thus we have the claim.

Let F be a O_k-submodule of f_*O_K. Let h_F be the induced metric by f_*h^m and h'_F the induced metric by $f_* \operatorname{can}_K$. Then, it is easy to see that

$$\mu_{L^2}(F, h_F) = \mu_{L^2}(F, h'_F) - \frac{m}{2}\sum_{\sigma \in (S_K)_\infty} \log h_\sigma(1, 1).$$

Hence we have our proposition by Proposition 3.5. $\square$

4. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF L^2-DEGREE OF SUBMODULES OF $H^0(L^m)$

A main purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let K be an algebraic number field, O_K the ring of integers of K and $f : X \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(O_K)$ an arithmetic variety over O_K. Let (L, h) be a Hermitian line bundle on X, (E, h_E) a Hermitian vector bundle on X, and h_m a Hermitian metric of $H^0(X, L^m \otimes E)$ induced by $h^m \otimes h_E$. Then there is a constant C such that*

$$\frac{\deg_{L^2}(F, h_F)}{\operatorname{rk} F} \leq Cm \log m$$

for all $m > 1$ and all submodules F of $H^0(X, L^m \otimes E)$, where h_F is the Hermitian metric induced by h_m.

First of all, we prepare the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let M be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension d, (L, h) a Hermitian line bundle on M and Φ a Kähler form on M. Let $s \in H^0(M, L)$ be a smooth section and V the set of zero points of s. Then there are constants C and C' such that*

$$\int_M h^{m-1}(t, t)\Phi^d \leq Cm^{6d} \int_M h^m(s \otimes t, s \otimes t)\Phi^d$$

for all $m \geq 1$ and $t \in H^0(M, L^{m-1})$ and that

$$\int_V h^m(t, t)\Phi^{d-1} \leq C'm^{2d} \int_M h^m(t, t)\Phi^d$$

for all $m \geq 1$ and $t \in H^0(M, L^m)$.

Proof. Here we claim the following sublemma, which can be proved by the same idea (due to M. Gromov) as in the proof of Proposition 3 of [GS88].

Sublemma 4.2.1. *For a real number $p \geq 1$, there is a constant C such that*

$$||t||_{\sup} \leq Cm^{2d/p}||t||_{L^p}$$

for all $m \geq 1$ and $t \in H^0(M, L^m)$.

Proof. Let x be a point of M, U_x an open neighborhood of x,

$$U_x \xrightarrow{\sim} V_x \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$$

a local chart, and u_x a local basis of L. From now, we identify U_x with V_x. We set $q_x(z) = h(u_x, u_x)$ and

$$r(a, b) = |a_1 - b_1| + \cdots + |a_d - b_d|$$

for $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d), b = (b_1, \dots, b_d) \in U_x$. Moreover we set

$$B(a, R) = \{(z_1, \dots, z_d) \in U_x \mid |z_i - a_i| \le R \text{ for all } i\}$$

for a point $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in U_x$ and $R > 0$. Shrinking U_x if necessary, we may assume that there is a constant K_x such that

$$|q_x(a) - q_x(b)| \le K_x r(a, b)$$

for all $a, b \in U_x$. Thus there are an open neighborhood $W_x \subseteq U_x$ of x and a constant r_x with the following properties:

(1) $B(a, r_x) \subseteq U_x$ for all $a \in W_x$.
(2) $q_x(z) \ge q_x(a) - K_x r(a, z) > 0$ for $z \in B(a, r_x)$ and $a \in W_x$.

Since $M = \bigcup_{x \in M} W_x$ and M is compact, there are finitely many $x_1, \dots, x_n$ such that $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^n W_{x_i}$. For simplicity, we set $U_i = U_{x_i}$, $W_i = W_{x_i}$, $u_i = u_{x_i}$, $q_i = q_{x_i}$, $K_i = K_{x_i}$ and $r_i = r_{x_i}$. Let t be a section of $H^0(M, L^m)$. Since M is compact, we can take $a \in M$ with $||t||_{\sup} = \sqrt{h^m(t,t)(a)}$. Let $a \in W_i$ $(1 \le i \le n)$. Using local basis u_i, we write $t = f(z) u_i^m$. Then,

$$h^m(t,t)^{p/2} = |f(z)|^p q_i(z)^{pm/2}.$$

We take constants g_i, G_i and C_i such that $g_i \le q_i(z) \le G_i$ for all $z \in U_i$ and $\Phi^d \ge C_i dx_1 dy_1 \cdots dx_d dy_d$, where $z_j = x_j + \sqrt{-1} y_j$ for $1 \le j \le d$. Let l_m be the integral part of $pm/2$ and $l'_m = pm/2 - l_m$. Since $|f|^p$ is subharmonic, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
||t||_{L^p}^p &= \int_M h^m(t,t)^{p/2} \Phi^d \\
&\ge C_i \int_{B(a, r_i)} |f(z)|^p q_i(z)^{pm/2} dx_1 dy_1 \cdots dx_d dy_d \\
&\ge C_i g_i^{l'_m} \int_{B(a, r_i)} |f(z)|^p q_i(z)^{l_m} dx_1 dy_1 \cdots dx_d dy_d \\
&\ge C_i g_i^{l'_m} \int_{B(a, r_i)} |f(z)|^p (q_i(a) - K_i r(a, z))^{l_m} dx_1 dy_1 \cdots dx_d dy_d \\
&\ge (2\pi)^d C_i g_i^{l'_m} |f(a)|^p \times \\
&\qquad \int_0^{r_i} \cdots \int_0^{r_i} R_1 \cdots R_d (q_i(a) - K_i(R_1 + \cdots + R_d))^{l_m} dR_1 \cdots dR_d.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by an easy calculation, we can see

$$\int_0^r x(A - Bx)^l dx \ge \frac{r^2}{(l+1)(l+2)} A^l,$$

where $A > 0$, $B > 0$, $r > 0$, $A - Br > 0$ and l is a non-negative integer. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||t||_{L^p}^p &\geq \frac{(2\pi)^d C_i g_i^{l'_m} r_i^{2d}}{(l_m+1)^d (l_m+2)^d} |f(a)|^p q_i(a)^{l_m} \\ &\geq \frac{(2\pi)^d C_i r_i^{2d}}{(l_m+1)^d (l_m+2)^d} \left(\frac{g_i}{G_i}\right)^{l'_m} |f(a)|^p q_i(a)^{pm/2} \\ &\geq \frac{(2\pi)^d C_i r_i^{2d}}{(pm/2+1)^d (pm/2+2)^d} \left(\frac{g_i}{G_i}\right) ||t||_{\sup}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Here we take a constant C such that

$$\frac{(2\pi)^d C_i r_i^{2d}}{(pm/2+1)^d (pm/2+2)^d} \left(\frac{g_i}{G_i}\right) \geq \frac{C}{m^{2d}},$$

for all $m > 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then

$$||t||_{L^p}^p \geq \frac{C}{m^{2d}} ||t||_{\sup}^p.$$

Thus we have our sublemma. $\square$

To prove the first part of Lemma 4.2, it is sufficient to see the following claim.

Claim 4.2.2. *There are constants C_1 and C_2 such that*

$$||t||_{L^1} \leq C_1 m^d ||s \otimes t||_{L^2}$$

for all $m \geq 1$ and $t \in H^0(M, L^{m-1})$ and that

$$||t||_{L^2} \leq C_2 m^{2d} ||t||_{L^1}$$

for all $m \geq 1$ and $t \in H^0(M, L^{m-1})$.

Since $h(s,s)^{-1/2}$ is integrable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M h^{m-1}(t,t)^{1/2} \Phi^d &= \int_M h(s,s)^{-1/2} h^m (s \otimes t, s \otimes t)^{1/2} \Phi^d \\ &\leq \left(\int_M h(s,s)^{-1/2} \Phi^d \right) ||s \otimes t||_{\sup}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Sublemma 4.2.1, we have first inequality of Claim 4.2.2.

Clearly there is a constant C_3 such that

$$||t||_{L^2} \leq C_3 ||t||_{\sup}$$

Therefore Sublemma 4.2.1 implies second inequality of Claim 4.2.2.

Next we consider the second assertion of Lemma 4.2. Let v be the volume of V. Using Sublemma 4.2.1, for some constant C_4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_V h^m(t,t)\Phi^{d-1} &\leq v\| \, t|_V \, \|_{\text{sup}}^2 \\ &\leq v\|t\|_{\text{sup}}^2 \\ &\leq vC_4 m^{2d} \int_M h^m(t,t)\Phi^d.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get Lemma 4.2. $\square$

Now let us go back to the proof of Theorem 4.1. To get Theorem 4.1, clearly we may assume that X is normal.

4.3 Reduction 1. We may assume that E is a line bundle.

Let $g : Y = \mathbb{P}(E) \longrightarrow X$ be the projective bundle of E and $\mathcal{O}(1)$ the tautological line bundle of E. We can define the quotient metric $h_{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ on $\mathcal{O}(1)$ by the natural surjective homomorphism $g^*(E) \to \mathcal{O}(1)$. We consider an isomorphism:

$$\alpha_m : H^0(X, L^m \otimes E) \longrightarrow H^0(Y, g^*(L)^m \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)).$$

Let F be a submodule of $H^0(X, L^m \otimes E)$. Let h_F be a Hermitian metric of F induced by $h^m \otimes h_E$ and $h_{\alpha_m(F)}$ a Hermitian metric of $\alpha_m(F)$ induced by $g^*(h)^m \otimes h_{\mathcal{O}(1)}$. Then, by Proposition 3.3, we have

$$\mu(F, h_F) \leq \mu(\alpha_m(F), h_{\alpha_m(F)}).$$

Thus we get Reduction 1.

4.4 Reduction 2. We may assume that $H^0(X, E) \neq 0$.

Let $(E', h_{E'})$ be a Hermitian line bundle on X such that $H^0(X, E') \neq 0$ and $H^0(X, E \otimes E') \neq 0$. Let s_1 be a non-zero section of $H^0(X, E')$. We consider an injective homomorphism:

$$\beta_m : H^0(X, L^m \otimes E) \xrightarrow{\otimes s_1} H^0(X, L^m \otimes E \otimes E').$$

We take a constant B_1 such that $\|(s_1)_\sigma\|_{\text{sup}} \leq B_1$ for all $\sigma \in K_\infty$. Then we have

$$\|\beta_m(t)_\sigma\|_{L^2} \leq B_1 \|t_\sigma\|_{L^2}$$

for all $t \in H^0(X, L^m \otimes E)$ and all $\sigma \in K_\infty$. Thus, by Proposition 3.3, for a submodule F of $H^0(X, L^m \otimes E)$, we obtain

$$\mu(F, h_F) \leq \mu(\beta_m(F), h_{\beta_m(F)}) + [K : \mathbb{Q}] \log B_1.$$

Therefore, we get Reduction 2.

4.5 Reduction 3. We may assume that $E = (\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{can}_K)$.

Let s_2 be a non-zero section of $H^0(X, E)$. We consider an injective homomorphism:

$$\gamma_m : H^0(X, L^m \otimes E) \overset{\otimes s_2^{m-1}}{\longrightarrow} H^0(X, (L \otimes E)^m).$$

We take a constant B_2 such that $||(s_2)_\sigma||_{\sup} \leq B_2$ for all $\sigma \in K_\infty$. Then, by the same way as in Reduction 2, for a submodule F of $H^0(X, L^m \otimes E)$, we have

$$\mu(F, h_F) \leq \mu(\gamma_m(F), h_{\gamma_m(F)}) + (m-1)[K : \mathbb{Q}] \log B_2.$$

Therefore, we get Reduction 3.

4.6 Reduction 4. We may assume that L is very ample.

Let $(L', h_{L'})$ be a Hermitian line bundle on X such that $H^0(X, L') \neq 0$ and $L \otimes L'$ is very ample. Let s_3 be a non-zero section of $H^0(X, L')$. We consider an injective homomorphism:

$$\delta_m : H^0(X, L^m) \overset{\otimes s_3^m}{\longrightarrow} H^0(X, (L \otimes L')^m).$$

We take a constant B_3 such that $||(s_3)_\sigma||_{\sup} \leq B_3$ for all $\sigma \in K_\infty$. Then, by the same way as in Reduction 2, for a submodule F of $H^0(X, L^m \otimes E)$, we have

$$\mu(F, h_F) \leq \mu(\delta_m(F), h_{\delta_m(F)}) + m[K : \mathbb{Q}] \log B_3.$$

Therefore, we get Reduction 4.

4.6. Let us go to the main part of the proof of Theorem 4.1. Gathering Reduction 1–4, we may assume that $(E, h) = (\mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{can}_K)$ and L is very ample. We use induction on $\dim f$. If $\dim f = 0$, this theorem holds by Proposition 3.6. Here we remark the following.

Remark 4.7.1. For a base extension $\mathrm{Spec}(O_{K'}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(O_K)$ and O_K-submodule $F \subseteq H^0(X, L^m)$, we get

$$F \otimes O_{K'} \subseteq H^0(X \otimes O_{K'}, (L \otimes O_{K'})^m)$$

and

$$\mu_{L^2}(F \otimes O_{K'}, h_F \otimes O_{K'}) = [K' : K] \mu_{L^2}(F, h_F).$$

For $s \in H^0(X, L)$, we denote by $\mathrm{div}(s)$ the set of zero of s. Since L is very ample, by the above Remark 4.7.1, considering a base change of $f : X \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(O_K)$ if necessarily, we may assume that there is a section $s \in H^0(X, L)$ such that $\mathrm{div}(s) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(O_K)$ is generically smooth. Let $V' = \mathrm{div}(s)$, V the horizontal component of V', I_V the defining ideal of V. We give a Hermitian metric h_{I_V} of I_V by h^{-1}. Let

$$X \xrightarrow{f'} \mathrm{Spec}(O_{K'}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Spec}(O_K)$$

be the Stein factorization of $X \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{Spec}(O_K)$. Then $f'_*(I_V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(V'))$ is a torsion free sheaf of rank 1 and the natural homomorphism

$$I_V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(V') \longrightarrow f'^*(f'_*(I_V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(V')))$$

is injective because $I_V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(V')$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{X_{K'}}$ on the generic fiber $X_{K'}$ of f'. Since $f'_*(I_V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(V'))$ is of rank 1, there is a factorial ideal A of O_K such that $f'_*(I_V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(V')) \subseteq \pi^*(A)$. In particular, we have $I_V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(V') \subseteq f^*(A)$. Here we give a trivial Hermitian metric h_A to A. Then the inclusion $I_V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(V') \subseteq f^*(A)$ is isometry.

Let F be a submodule of $H^0(X, L^m)$ and h_F the induced metric by h_m. We consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \to H^0(X, L^m \otimes I_V) \to H^0(X, L^m) \to H^0(V, L^m).$$

We set $T = F \cap H^0(X, L^m \otimes I_V)$ and $Q = F/F \cap H^0(X, L^m \otimes I_V)$. Let h_T be the submetric of h_F and h_Q the quotient metric of h_F. Then by Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\mu_{L^2}(F, h_F) = \frac{t}{t+q}\mu_{L^2}(T, h_T) + \frac{q}{t+q}\mu_{L^2}(Q, h_Q), \tag{4.7.2}$$

where $t = \mathrm{rk}\, T$ and $q = \mathrm{rk}\, Q$. Let h'_T be the submetric induced by $h^m \otimes h_{I_V} = h^{m-1}$. Since Q is a submodule of $H^0(V, L^m)$, we get a metric h'_Q induced by $(h|_V)_m$. If we set $d = \dim f$, by Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 4.2, there are constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$\mu_{L^2}(T, h_T) \le \mu_{L^2}(T, h'_T) + \frac{\log C_1 + 6d \log m}{2}[K : \mathbb{Q}] \tag{4.7.3}$$

and

$$\mu_{L^2}(Q, h_Q) \le \mu_{L^2}(Q, h'_Q) + \frac{\log C_2 + 2d \log m}{2}[K : \mathbb{Q}], \tag{4.7.4}$$

for all $m \ge 1$. By hypothesis of induction, there is a constant C_3 such that

$$\mu_{L^2}(N, h_N) \le C_3 m \log m \tag{4.7.5}$$

for all $m > 1$ and all submodule N of $H^0(V, L^m)$. On the other hand, due to Proposition 3.5, we can take a constant C_4 such that

$$\mu_{L^2}(P, h_P) \le C_4 \tag{4.7.6}$$

for all submodule P of $H^0(X, L^2)$. Moreover

$$\mu_{L^2}(T, h'_T) = \mu_{L^2}(T \otimes A^{-1}, h'_T \otimes h_A^{-1}) + \mu_{L^2}(A, h_A)$$

and $T \otimes A^{-1} \subseteq H^0(X, L^{m-1})$. We choose C satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{L^2}(A, h_A) + \dfrac{\log C_1 + 6d \log m}{2}[K : \mathbb{Q}] \le C \log m \\ C_3 m \log m + \dfrac{\log C_2 + 2d \log m}{2}[K : \mathbb{Q}] \le C m \log m \\ C_4 \le C(2 \log 2) \end{cases} \tag{4.7.7}$$

for all $m > 0$. Here we claim:

Claim 4.7.8. *$\mu_{L^2}(F, h_F) \le Cm \log m$ for all $m > 1$ and all submodules F of $H^0(X, L^m)$.*

We prove this claim by induction on m. By hypothesis of induction on m, we have

$$\mu_{L^2}(T \otimes A^{-1}, h'_T \otimes h_A^{-1}) \le C(m-1)\log(m-1).$$

Thus by (4.7.3) and (4.7.7), we get

$$\mu_{L^2}(T, h_T) \le Cm \log m.$$

On the other hand, (4.7.4), (4.7.5) and (4.7.7) imply

$$\mu_{L^2}(Q, h_Q) \le Cm \log m.$$

Hence by (4.7.2), we obtain

$$\mu_{L^2}(F, h_F) \le \frac{t}{t+q} Cm \log m + \frac{q}{t+q} Cm \log m = Cm \log m. \qquad \square$$

5. Vanishing of a certain Bott-Chern secondary class

This section is devoted to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let C be a compact Riemann surface, E a vector bundle of rank r on C and h a projectively flat metric of E. Let $f : Y = \mathbb{P}(E) \longrightarrow C$ be the projective bundle of E and $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ the tautological line bundle. Let $\mathcal{E} : 0 \to F \to f^*E \to \mathcal{O}_Y(1) \to 0$ be the canonical exact sequence. We give the canonical Hermitian metrics on F, f^*E and $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ induced by the Hermitian metric h of E. Then we have*

$$\int_Y \left\{ \left(c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)) - \frac{1}{r} f^*(c_1(E)) \right) \sum_{i=1}^{r} (-1)^i \tilde{c}_i(\mathcal{E}) c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-i} \right\} = 0.$$

Proof. First we notice that we may assume that $\det E$ is divisible by r in $\mathrm{Pic}(C)$ considering a finite covering of C. We set

$$\Phi(\mathcal{E}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} (-1)^i \tilde{c}_i(\mathcal{E}) c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-i}.$$

Let L be a Hermitian line bundle on C. We will see $\Phi(\mathcal{E} \otimes L) = \Phi(\mathcal{E})$. Using the formula of (1.3.3.2) in [GS90b], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\mathcal{E} \otimes L) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} (-1)^i \tilde{c}_i(\mathcal{E} \otimes L) \left(c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)) + f^*(c_1(L)) \right)^{r-i} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{r} (-1)^i \left(\tilde{c}_i(\mathcal{E}) + (r-i+1) \tilde{c}_{i-1}(\mathcal{E}) f^*(c_1(L)) \right) \times \\
&\qquad\qquad \left(c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-i} + (r-i) c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-i-1} f^*(c_1(L)) \right) \\
&= \Phi(\mathcal{E}) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} (-1)^i (r-i) \tilde{c}_i(\mathcal{E}) c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-i-1} f^*(c_1(L)) + \\
&\qquad \sum_{i=1}^{r} (-1)^i (r-i+1) \tilde{c}_{i-1}(\mathcal{E}) c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-i} f^*(c_1(L)) \\
&= \Phi(\mathcal{E}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\det E$ is divisible by r in $\mathrm{Pic}(C)$, we can take a Hermitian line bundle (L, h_L) such that $(L, h_L)^{\otimes r} = (\det E, \det h)$. We set $E' = E \otimes L^{-1}$. Then $c_1(E') = 0$. These observations show us that we may assume that $c_1(E) = 0$.

Thus, to get our assertion, it is sufficient to see that

$$\int_Y \tilde{c}_i(\mathcal{E}) c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-i+1} = 0$$

for $i = 1, \dots, r$. We denote the homomorphisms $F \longrightarrow f^*E$ and $f^*E \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ by ι and τ respectively. Let $p : Y \times \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow Y$ and $q : Y \times \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the natural projections. Let $\sigma \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$ be a non-zero section such that $\sigma(\infty) = 0$. Let $h_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)}$ be a metric of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ such that $h_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)}(\sigma, \sigma)\big|_0 = 1$. We set

$$\tilde{E} = \operatorname{Coker}\left(p^*\iota \oplus (\mathrm{id}_{p^*F} \otimes \sigma) : p^*F \longrightarrow p^*f^*E \oplus (p^*F \otimes q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))\right).$$

Let $F^\perp$ be the orthogonal complement of F in f^*E. Using the natural bijective homomorphism

$$p^*F^\perp \oplus (p^*F \otimes q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) \longrightarrow \tilde{E},$$

we give a metric $\tilde{h}$ on $\tilde{E}$. Let $x, x' \in p^*f^*E$ and

$$x = x_1 + x_2 \ (x_1 \in p^*F, x_2 \in p^*F^\perp), \qquad x' = x_1' + x_2' \ (x_1' \in p^*F, x_2' \in p^*F^\perp)$$

the orthonormal decompositions. Then we have

$$\tilde{h}(x, x') - h_E(x, x') = (||\sigma||^2 - 1) h_E(x_1, x_1').$$

These observation shows us that $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{h})\Big|_{Y \times \{0\}}$ is isometric to (f^*E, h_E). On the other hand, clearly $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{h})\Big|_{Y \times \{\infty\}}$ is isometric to $(F, h_F) \oplus (\mathcal{O}_Y(1), h_{\mathcal{O}_Y(1)})$. Hence by the construction of $\tilde{c}_i(\mathcal{E})$ (cf. 1.3)

$$\tilde{c}_i(\mathcal{E}) = \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} c_i(\tilde{E}, \tilde{h}) \log |z|^2,$$

where z is an inhomogeneous coordinate of $\mathbb{P}^1$. Thus using Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_Y \tilde{c}_i(\mathcal{E}) c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-i+1} &= \int_Y \left\{ c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-i+1} \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} c_i(\tilde{E}, \tilde{h}) \log |z|^2 \right\} \\
&= \int_{Y \times \mathbb{P}^1} p^*c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-i+1} c_i(\tilde{E}, \tilde{h}) \log |z|^2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \left\{ \log |z|^2 \int_Y p^*c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-i+1} c_i(\tilde{E}, \tilde{h}) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence it is sufficient to see that

$$\int_Y p^*c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-i+1} c_i(\tilde{E}, \tilde{h}) = 0$$

for $z \neq \infty$. Since E is flat, we can take a local flat frame $s_0, \dots, s_{r-1}$ of E such that $h_E(s_i, s_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Let $X_0, \dots, X_{r-1}$ be a homogeneous coordinate of $Y = \mathbb{P}(E)$ corresponding to $s_0, \dots, s_{r-1}$. On $X_0 \neq 0$, we set $z_i = X_i / X_0$ and

$$g = \frac{s_0 + \bar{z}_1 s_1 + \cdots + \bar{z}_{r-1} s_{r-1}}{1 + |z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_{r-1}|^2}.$$

Then $g \in F^{\perp}$ and $\tau(g) = \tau(s_0)$. Since

$$s_0 = (s_0 - g) + g \quad \text{and} \quad s_i = (s_i - z_i g) + z_i g \ (i = 1, \cdots, r-1)$$

are orthogonal decompositions of s_0 and s_i respectively, we have

$$(\tilde{h}(s_i, s_j)) = ||\sigma||^2 (\delta_{ij}) + \frac{1 - ||\sigma||^2}{1 + |z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_{r-1}|^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{z}_1 & \bar{z}_2 & \cdots & \bar{z}_{r-1} \\ z_1 & |z_1|^2 & z_1 \bar{z}_2 & \cdots & z_1 \bar{z}_{r-1} \\ z_2 & z_2 \bar{z}_1 & |z_2|^2 & \ddots & z_2 \bar{z}_{r-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ z_{r-1} & z_{r-1} \bar{z}_1 & z_{r-1} \bar{z}_2 & \cdots & |z_{r-1}|^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we get

$$c_i(\tilde{E}, \tilde{h}) \in A^{0,0}[dz, dz_1, \dots, dz_{r-1}, d\bar{z}, d\bar{z}_1, \dots, d\bar{z}_{r-1}].$$

On the other hand, since

$$h_E(g, g) = \frac{1}{1 + |z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_{r-1}|^2},$$

we obtain

$$c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)) \in A^{0,0}[dz_1, \dots, dz_{r-1}, d\bar{z}_1, \dots, d\bar{z}_{r-1}].$$

Therefore since $p^* c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-i+1} c_i(\tilde{E}, \tilde{h})$ is $(r+1, r+1)$-form and lies in

$$A^{0,0}[dz, dz_1, \dots, dz_{r-1}, d\bar{z}, d\bar{z}_1, \dots, d\bar{z}_{r-1}],$$

we obtain

$$p^* c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-i+1} c_i(\tilde{E}, \tilde{h}) = 0$$

on the chart $X_0 \neq 0$. Hence we have our lemma. $\square$

6. DONALDSON'S LAGRANGIAN AND ARITHMETIC SECOND CHERN CLASS

Let M be an n-dimensional complex manifold, and E a vector bundle of rank r on M. Let h and k be Hermitian metrics of E, and $\{h_t\}_{0\le t\le 1}$ a C^∞-deformation of Hermitian metrics of E such that $h_0 = h$ and $h_1 = k$. Let R_t be the curvature of h_t. We set

$$\begin{aligned}
&Q_1(E,h,k) = \log(\det(h)/\det(k)),\\
&Q_2(E,h,k) = \sqrt{-1}\int_0^1 \operatorname{tr}(h_t^{-1}\cdot(\partial_t h_t)\cdot R_t)dt,\\
&\widetilde{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E,h,k) = \widetilde{\operatorname{ch}}_2(0\to(E,k)\to(E,h)\to(0,0)\to 0).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma (3.6) in Chapter VI of [Ko], $Q_2(E,h,k)$ is uniquely determined by h and k up to $\partial(A^{0,1})+\overline{\partial}(A^{1,0})$. Then, by comparing $(1,1)$-part of the formula in Corollary 1.30, ii) of [BGS], we have the following lemma. (Note that $\widetilde{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E,h,k) = \widetilde{\operatorname{ch}}_2(0\to(E,h)\to(E,k)\to(0,0)\to 0)$ in the sense of [BGS].)

Lemma 6.1. $\widetilde{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E,h,k) = -\dfrac{1}{2\pi}Q_2(E,h,k)$ *modulo* $\partial(A^{0,1})+\overline{\partial}(A^{1,0})$.

Here we assume that M is compact and Kähler. Let Φ be a Kähler form of M. The Donaldson's Lagrangian $DL(E,h,k;\Phi)$ is defined by

$$DL(E,h,k;\Phi) = \int_M (Q_2(E,h,k) - \frac{c}{n}Q_1(E,h,k)\Phi)\wedge\frac{\Phi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!},$$

where

$$c = \frac{2n\pi\displaystyle\int_M c_1(E)\wedge\Phi^{n-1}}{r\displaystyle\int_M \Phi^n}.$$

We fix a Hermitian metric k of E. Then, by Proposition (3.37) in Chapter VI of [Ko], the following are equivalent.

(i) $DL(E,h_0,k;\Phi)$ gives the absolute minimal value of

$$\{DL(E,h,k;\Phi)\mid h \text{ is a Hermitian metric of } E\}.$$

(ii) h_0 is Einstein-Hermitian.

Lemma 6.2. *Let K be an algebraic number field and O_K the ring of integers. Let $f : X \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ be an arithmetic variety with $\dim X = d \ge 2$, and (H,h_H) a Hermitian line bundle on X such that, for each $\sigma\in K_\infty$, $c_1(H_\sigma,h_{H_\sigma})$ gives a Kähler form Φ_σ on an infinite fiber X_σ. Let E be a vector bundle on X, and h and h' Hermitian metrics of E. If $\det(h)=\det(h')$, then we have*

$$(\widehat{c}_2(E,h)-\widehat{c}_2(E,h'))\cdot\widehat{c}_1(H,h_H)^{d-2} = \frac{(d-2)!}{2\pi}\sum_{\sigma\in K_\infty} DL(E_\sigma,h_\sigma,h'_\sigma;\Phi_\sigma).$$

Proof. Since $\det(h) = \det(h')$, we have $\widehat{c}_1(E, h) = \widehat{c}_1(E, h')$. Thus we get

$$\widehat{c}_2(E, h) - \widehat{c}_2(E, h') = -(\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E, h) - \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E, h')).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 6.1, we obtain

$$\widehat{c}_2(E, h) - \widehat{c}_2(E, h') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\sigma \in K_\infty} Q_2(E_\sigma, h_\sigma, h'_\sigma).$$

Hence we have our formula because $Q_1(E_\sigma, h_\sigma, h'_\sigma) = 0$ for all $\sigma \in K_\infty$. □

Theorem 6.3. *Let K be an algebraic number field and O_K the ring of integers. Let $f : X \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(O_K)$ be an arithmetic variety with $\dim X = d \geq 2$, and (H, h_H) a Hermitian line bundle on X such that, for each $\sigma \in K_\infty$, $c_1(H_\sigma, h_{H_\sigma})$ gives a Kähler form Φ_σ on an infinite fiber X_σ. Let E be a vector bundle of rank r on X. For a Hermitian metric h of E, we set*

$$\Delta(E, h) = \left(\widehat{c}_2(E, h) - \frac{r-1}{2r}\widehat{c}_1(E, h)^2\right) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(H, h_H)^{d-2}.$$

If E is Φ_σ-poly-stable on each infinite fiber X_σ, then we have;

1. *the set $\Delta = \{\Delta(E, h) \mid h$ is a Hermitian metric of $E\}$ has the absolute minimal value.*
2. *$\Delta(E, h_0)$ attaches the minimal value of Δ if and only if h_0 is weakly Einstein-Hermitian on each infinite fiber.*

Proof. Since E is poly-stable on each infinite fiber, there is an Einstein-Hermitian metric k of E. We set $\rho = \sqrt[r]{\det(k)/\det(h)}$ and $h' = \rho h$. Then it is easy to see that $\det(h') = \det(h)$ and $\Delta(E, h) = \Delta(E, h')$. Thus by Lemma 6.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(E, h) - \Delta(E, k) &= \Delta(E, h') - \Delta(E, k) \\
&= (\widehat{c}_2(E, h') - \widehat{c}_2(E, k)) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(H, h_H)^{d-2} \\
&= \frac{(d-2)!}{2\pi} \sum_{\sigma \in K_\infty} DL(E_\sigma, h'_\sigma, k_\sigma; \Phi_\sigma).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, since DL is the Donaldson's Lagrangian, we get

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_\infty} DL(E_\sigma, h'_\sigma, k_\sigma; \Phi_\sigma) \geq 0$$

and the equality holds if and only if h' is Einstein-Hermitian on each infinite fiber. Thus we have our theorem. □

7. Second fundamental form

Let M be a complex manifold and (E,h) a Hermitian vector bundle on M. Let $0 \to S \to E \to Q \to 0$ be an exact sequence of vector bundles. Let h' and h'' be Hermitian metrics of S and Q induced by h respectively. Let $E = S \oplus S^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal decomposition of E by h. Let $D(E,h)$, $D(S,h')$ and $D(Q,h'')$ be the Hermitian connections of (E,h), (S,h') and (Q,h'') respectively. Moreover, let $K(E,h)$, $K(S,h')$ and $K(Q,h'')$ be the curvatures of (E,h), (S,h') and (Q,h'') respectively. The Hermitian connection $D(E,h)$ has the following form:

$$D(E,h) = \begin{pmatrix} D(S,h') & -A^* \\ A & D(Q,h'') \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A \in A^{1,0}(\mathrm{Hom}(S,S^{\perp}))$ and A^* is the adjoint of A. A is called *the second fundamental form of*

$$0 \to (S,h') \to (E,h) \to (Q,h'') \to 0.$$

It is well known that the exact sequence $0 \to S \to E \to Q \to 0$ induces the orthogonal decomposition $(E,h) = (S,h'') \oplus (Q,h'')$ if and only if A vanishes identically. We set

$$D_t = D(E,h) + (e^t - 1)\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad K_t = (D_t)^2.$$

Then, by Proposition 3.28 and Lemma 4.7 of [BC], we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{tr}(K(E,h)^2) - \mathrm{tr}(K_t^2) = \\ &\qquad 2\pi\sqrt{-1}dd^c\left(\int_t^0 \left\{\mathrm{tr}\left(K_t \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) + \mathrm{tr}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot K_t\right)\right\} dt\right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $\mathrm{tr}(K(S,h'))$ is d-closed, by an easy calculation, we get

$$\mathrm{tr}(K(E,h)^2) - \mathrm{tr}(K_t^2) = -4\pi\sqrt{-1}(1-e^t)dd^c\left(\mathrm{tr}(A^* \wedge A)\right).$$

Thus we obtain

$$\mathrm{tr}(K(E,h)^2) - \mathrm{tr}(K(S,h')^2 \oplus K(Q,h'')^2) = -4\pi\sqrt{-1}dd^c\left(\mathrm{tr}(A^* \wedge A)\right)$$

because

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} \mathrm{tr}(K_t^2) = \mathrm{tr}(K(S,h')^2 \oplus K(Q,h'')^2).$$

These observations show us the following lemma.

Lemma 7.1. *With notation being as above, we have*

$$\mathrm{ch}_2(E,h) - \mathrm{ch}_2((S,h') \oplus (Q,h'')) = dd^c\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{tr}(A^* \wedge A)\right).$$

In particular, by the axiomatic characterization of $\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}_2$, *we get*

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}_2(0 \to (S,h') \to (E,h) \to (Q,h'') \to 0) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{tr}(A^* \wedge A)$$

modulo $\partial(A^{0,1}) + \bar{\partial}(A^{1,0})$.

Remark 7.2. In the sense of [BGS],

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}_2(0 \to (S,h') \to (E,h) \to (Q,h'') \to 0) = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{tr}(A^* \wedge A).$$

Here we assume that M is an n-dimensional compact Kähler manifold with a Kähler form Φ. Let $\theta^1, \ldots, \theta^n$ be a local unitary frame of Ω^1_M. Then $\Phi = \sqrt{-1}\sum \theta^i \wedge \bar{\theta}^i$. We set $A = \sum A_i\theta^i$. Since $A^* = \sum A_i^*\bar{\theta}^i$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}(A^* \wedge A) \wedge \frac{\Phi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} &= \sqrt{-1}\sum_{i=1,j=1}^{n} \mathrm{tr}(A_i^* \wedge A_j)(\bar{\theta}^i \wedge \theta^j) \wedge \frac{\Phi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\
&= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{tr}(A_i^* \wedge A_i)\sqrt{-1}(\theta^i \wedge \bar{\theta}^i) \wedge \frac{\Phi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\
&= -|A|^2\frac{\Phi^n}{n!},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\int_M \widetilde{\mathrm{ch}}_2(0 \to (S,h') \to (E,h) \to (Q,h'') \to 0) \wedge \frac{\Phi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}||A||^2.$$

Thus we have the following proposition.

Proposition 7.3. *Let K be an algebraic number field and O_K the ring of integers. We denote by K_∞ the set of all embeddings of K into $\mathbb{C}$. Let $f : X \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(O_K)$ be a regular arithmetic variety with $\dim X = d \geq 2$, and (H, h_H) a Hermitian line bundle on X such that, for each $\sigma \in K_\infty$, $c_1(H_\sigma, h_{H_\sigma})$ gives a Kähler form Φ_σ on an infinite fiber X_σ. Let $0 \to S \to E \to Q \to 0$ be an exact sequence of torsion free sheaves such that each torsion free sheaf is locally free on the generic fiber. Let h be a Hermitian metric of E, and h' and h'' Hermitian metrics of S and Q induced by h respectively. Then, we have the following:*

$$\left(\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E,h) - \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2((S,h') \oplus (Q,h''))\right) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(H,h_H)^{d-2} = -\frac{(d-2)!}{2\pi}\sum_{\sigma \in K_\infty} ||A_\sigma||^2,$$

$$(\widehat{c}_2(E,h) - \widehat{c}_2((S,h') \oplus (Q,h''))) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(H,h_H)^{d-2} = \frac{(d-2)!}{2\pi}\sum_{\sigma \in K_\infty} ||A_\sigma||^2.$$

Corollary 7.4. *Let K be an algebraic number field and O_K the ring of integers. We denote by K_∞ the set of all embeddings of K into $\mathbb{C}$. Let $f : X \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(O_K)$ be a regular arithmetic variety with $\dim X = d \geq 2$, and (H, h_H) a Hermitian line bundle on X such that, for each $\sigma \in K_\infty$, $c_1(H_\sigma, h_{H_\sigma})$ gives a Kähler form Φ_σ on an infinite fiber X_σ. Let*

$$0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_{l-1} \subset E_l$$

be a filtration of torsion free sheaves on X such that

(i) *E_i is locally free on the generic fiber for every $1 \leq i \leq l$, and that*
(ii) *E_i/E_{i-1} is torsion free and locally free on the generic fiber for every $1 \leq i \leq l$.*

Let h_l be a Hermitian metric of E_l and h_i the induced metric of E_i by h_l. Let $Q_i = E_i/E_{i-1}$ and k_i the quotient metric of Q_i induced by h_i. Then, we have

$$\left\{\widehat{c}_2(E_l, h_l) - \widehat{c}_2((Q_1, k_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (Q_l, k_l))\right\} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(H, h_H)^{d-2} \geq 0.$$

Further, the equality of the above inequality holds if and only if (E_l, h_l) is isometric to $(Q_1, h_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (Q_l, h_l)$ on each infinite fiber.

Proof. We will prove the following inequality inductively.

$$\left\{\widehat{c}_2(E_l, h_l) - \widehat{c}_2((E_i, h_i) \oplus (Q_{i+1}, k_{i+1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (Q_l, k_l))\right\} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(H, h_H)^{d-2} \geq 0.$$

In the case where $i = l - 1$, the above inequality is an immediate consequence of Proposition 7.3. Here we consider an exact sequence:

$$0 \to E_{i-1} \to E_i \to Q_i \to 0.$$

By Proposition 7.3, we have

$$\left\{\widehat{c}_2(E_i, h_i) - \widehat{c}_2((E_{i-1}, h_{i-1}) \oplus (Q_i, k_i))\right\} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(H, h_H)^{d-2} \geq 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\{\widehat{c}_2((E_i, h_i) \oplus (Q_{i+1}, k_{i+1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (Q_l, k_l)) - \\ &\qquad \widehat{c}_2((E_{i-1}, h_{i-1}) \oplus (Q_i, k_i) \oplus (Q_{i+1}, k_{i+1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (Q_l, k_l))\big\} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(H, h_H)^{d-2} \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, combining hypothesis of induction, we get

$$\left\{\widehat{c}_2(E_l, h_l) - \widehat{c}_2((E_{i-1}, h_{i-1}) \oplus (Q_i, k_i) \oplus \cdots \oplus (Q_l, k_l))\right\} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(H, h_H)^{d-2} \geq 0.$$

Thus we have our corollary. $\square$

8. Proof of the main theorem

First of all, we will prepare the following two lemmas.

Lemma 8.1. *Let k an algebraically closed field and K an extension field of k. Let X be a normal projective variety over k, H an ample line bundle on X, and E a vector bundle on X. Then E is H-stable (resp. H-semistable) if and only if $E \otimes_k \overline{K}$ is $H \otimes_k \overline{K}$-stable (resp. $H \otimes_k \overline{K}$-semistable).*

Proof. Clearly, if $E \otimes_k \overline{K}$ is $H \otimes_k \overline{K}$-stable (resp. $H \otimes_k \overline{K}$-semistable), then E is H-stable (resp. H-semistable).

We assume that $E \otimes_k \overline{K}$ is not $H \otimes_k \overline{K}$-stable (resp. not $H \otimes_k \overline{K}$-semistable). Then there is a subsheaf F of $E \otimes_k \overline{K}$ with $\mu(F) \geq \mu(E)$ (resp. $\mu(F) > \mu(E)$). Here we can take elements $x_1, \cdots, x_n$ of $\overline{K}$ such that F is defined over $k(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. We consider a normal projective variety Y over k such that $k(Y) = k(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. We set $Z = X \times_k Y$ and let $p : Z \to X$ be the projection. By the assumption, for the generic point $\eta \in Y$, $p^*(E)|_{X \times \{\bar{\eta}\}}$ is not H-stable (resp. not H-semistable). Therefore, by [Ma1], $p^*(E)|_{X \times \{y\}}$ is not H-stable (resp. not H-semistable) for all closed point y of Y. Thus E is not H-stable (resp. not H-semistable). □

Lemma 8.2. *Let k be a Galois extension over $\mathbb{Q}$ with a Galois group $G = \mathrm{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q})$. Let X be a smooth projective variety over k, H an ample line bundle on X, and E a vector bundle on X. Then if $E \otimes_k \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is stable (resp. semistable), then, for every $\sigma \in G$, $E \otimes_k^{\sigma} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is also stable (resp. semistable), where $E \otimes_k^{\sigma} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a tensor product with using an embedding $\sigma : k \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Proof. Assume that $E \otimes_k^{\sigma} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is not stable (resp. not semistable). Then there is a subsheaf F of $E \otimes_k^{\sigma} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\mu(F) \geq \mu(E)$ (resp. $\mu(F) > \mu(E)$). We may assume that F is defined over a field k' such that $k \subset k'$ and k' is a Galois extension over $\mathbb{Q}$. We take an element σ' of $\mathrm{Gal}(k'/\mathbb{Q})$ with $\sigma'|_k = \sigma$. Here we give a right k'-module structure to $(E \otimes_k^{\sigma} k')$ by $(e \otimes a) \cdot \lambda = e \otimes a\sigma'(\lambda)$. Then if we consider a correspondence $e \otimes a \otimes b \rightsquigarrow e \otimes {\sigma'}^{-1}(a)\sigma'(b)$, we can easily to see that $(E \otimes_k^{\sigma} k') \otimes_{k'}^{{\sigma'}^{-1}} k' \simeq E \otimes_k k'$. Moreover, if we give a right k'-module structure to $(E \otimes_k^{\sigma} k') \otimes_{k'}^{{\sigma'}^{-1}} k'$ by $(e \otimes a \otimes b) \cdot \lambda = e \otimes a \otimes b{\sigma'}^{-1}(\lambda)$, then the above is an isomorphism as k'-modules. Thus $F \otimes_{k'}^{{\sigma'}^{-1}} k'$ is a subsheaf of $E \otimes_k k'$. On the other hand, since the intersection number does not change by an extension of the grand field, we have $\mu(F) = \mu(F \otimes_{k'}^{{\sigma'}^{-1}} k')$. This is a contradiction. □

Let us start the proof of the main theorem. We follow steps in Introduction.

8.3 Step 1. We try to reduce our theorem to the case where E is poly-stable on each infinite fiber. Since $E \otimes_K \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is semistable, there is a Jordan-Hölder filtration of $E \otimes_K \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$:

$$0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_{l-1} \subset E_l = E \otimes_K \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$$

such that E_i/E_{i-1} is stable for all $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $\mu(E_1/E_0) = \mu(E_2/E_1) = \cdots = \mu(E_l/E_{l-1})$. Considering a base change of O_K, we may assume that E_i is defined over K

and K is a Galois extension over $\mathbb{Q}$. Moreover, if we take a suitable birational change of X, we may assume that X is regular, E_i is defined over X and that E_i/E_{i-1} is torsion free. We set $Q_i = E_i/E_{i-1}$. We give a Hermitian metric h_i to each E_i induced by h. Here we consider an exact sequence:

$$0 \to E_{i-1} \to E_i \to Q_i \to 0.$$

Let k_i be the quotient metric of Q_i by the above exact sequence, and $Q_i^{\vee\vee}$ the double dual of Q_i. Lemma 8.1 and Lemma 8.2 imply $Q_1^{\vee\vee} \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_l^{\vee\vee}$ is poly-stable on each infinite fiber. Thus by hypothesis of reduction, we have

$$\widehat{c}_2((Q_1^{\vee\vee}, k_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (Q_l^{\vee\vee}, k_l)) - \frac{r-1}{2r}\widehat{c}_1((Q_1^{\vee\vee}, k_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (Q_l^{\vee\vee}, k_l))^2 \geq 0.$$

Corollary 7.4 implies that

$$\widehat{c}_2(E,h) \geq \widehat{c}_2((Q_1, k_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (Q_l, k_l)).$$

On the other hand, clearly we have

$$\widehat{c}_2((Q_1, k_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (Q_l, k_l)) \geq \widehat{c}_2((Q_1^{\vee\vee}, k_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (Q_l^{\vee\vee}, k_l))$$

and

$$\widehat{c}_1(E,h) = \widehat{c}_1((Q_1^{\vee\vee}, k_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (Q_l^{\vee\vee}, k_l)).$$

Thus we get Step 1.

8.4 Step 2. By Theorem 6.3, we may assume that h is Einstein-Hermitian on each infinite fiber.

8.5 Step 3. Let $\pi : Y = \mathbb{P}(E) \longrightarrow X$ be the projective bundle of E and $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ the tautological line bundle. Let $\mathcal{E} : 0 \to F \to \pi^*E \to \mathcal{O}_Y(1) \to 0$ be the canonical exact sequence. We give the canonical Hermitian metrics on F, π^*E and $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ induced by the Hermitian metric of E. We set $L = \mathcal{O}_Y(r) \otimes \pi^*(\det E)^{-1}$. Here we consider

$$\deg\left(\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)) - \frac{1}{r}\pi^*(\widehat{c}_1(E))\right)^{r+1}.$$

We set

$$\Phi = \sum_{\sigma \in S_\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{r} (-1)^i \tilde{c}_i(\mathcal{E}_\sigma) c_1(\mathcal{O}_{Y_\sigma}(1))^{r-i}.$$

Then by (1.9.1)

$$\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^r - \pi^*(\widehat{c}_1(E))\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-1} + \pi^*(\widehat{c}_2(E))\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-2} = a(\Phi).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)) - \frac{1}{r}\pi^*(\widehat{c}_1(E))\right)^{r+1} =& \frac{r-1}{2r}\pi^*(\widehat{c}_1(E)^2)\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-1}\\ &- \pi^*(\widehat{c}_2(E))\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-1}\\ &+ \left(\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)) - \frac{1}{r}\pi^*(\widehat{c}_1(E))\right)a(\Phi).\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\pi_*(\pi^*(\widehat{c}_1(E)^2)\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-1}) = \widehat{c}_1(E)^2$$

and

$$\pi_*(\pi^*(\widehat{c}_2(E))\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{r-1}) = \widehat{c}_2(E)$$

by projection formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_*\left(\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)) - \frac{1}{r}\pi^*(\widehat{c}_1(E))\right)^{r+1} =& \frac{r-1}{2r}(\widehat{c}_1(E)^2) - (\widehat{c}_2(E)) +\\ &\pi_*\left(\left(\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)) - \frac{1}{r}\pi^*(\widehat{c}_1(E))\right)a(\Phi)\right).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$\deg\left(\left(\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)) - \frac{1}{r}\pi^*(\widehat{c}_1(E))\right)a(\Phi)\right)$$

is equal to

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_\infty}\int_{Y_\sigma}\left\{\left(c_1(\mathcal{O}_{Y_\sigma}(1)) - \frac{1}{r}\pi^*(c_1(E_\sigma))\right)\sum_{i=1}^{r}(-1)^i\tilde{c}_i(\mathcal{E}_\sigma)c_1(\mathcal{O}_{Y_\sigma}(1))^{r-i}\right\},$$

Lemma 5.1 implies

$$\deg\left(\left(\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)) - \frac{1}{r}\pi^*(\widehat{c}_1(E))\right)a(\Phi)\right) = 0.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\deg\left(\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)) - \frac{1}{r}\pi^*(\widehat{c}_1(E))\right)^{r+1} = \frac{r-1}{2r}(\widehat{c}_1(E)^2) - (\widehat{c}_2(E)).$$

On the the other hand,

$$(L^{r+1}) = r^{r+1}\deg\left(\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)) - \frac{1}{r}\pi^*(\widehat{c}_1(E))\right)^{r+1}.$$

Thus we have

$$(L^{r+1}) \le 0 \Longrightarrow \frac{r-1}{2r}\widehat{c}_1(E,h)^2 \le \widehat{c}_2(E,h).$$

Hence it is sufficient to show that $(L^{r+1}) \le 0$.

8.6 Step 4. Let (N, h) be a Hermitian line bundle on X such that N is ample, $\deg(N_K) > 2g(X_K) - 2$ and that $L \otimes \pi^* N$ is ample. By the arithmetic Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem (1.11.1), we have

$$\chi_{L^2}(L^n \otimes \pi^* N) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_\infty} \tau((L^n \otimes \pi^* N)_\sigma) = \deg(\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}(L^n \otimes \pi^* N) \cdot \widehat{\mathrm{td}}^A(T_{Y/S})).$$

Clearly

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\deg(\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}(L^n \otimes \pi^* N) \cdot \widehat{\mathrm{td}}^A(T_{Y/S}))}{n^{r+1}} = \frac{1}{(r+1)!}(L^{r+1}).$$

Thus it is sufficient to show that

(a) $\sum_{\sigma \in S_\infty} \tau((L^n \otimes \pi^* N)_\sigma) \leq O(n^r \log n)$.

(b) $\chi_{L^2}(L^n \otimes \pi^* N) \leq O(n^r \log n)$.

8.7 Step 5. If we denote by H_σ the Hermitian form of L_σ for all $\sigma \in S_\infty$, $H_\sigma(y)$ is positive semi-definite and $\mathrm{rk}\, H_\sigma(y) \geq r - 1$ for all $y \in Y_\sigma$ as in p.89-p.90 of [Ko]. Thus by Corollary 2.4 we have (a).

8.8 Step 6. Since $\deg(N) > 2g(X) - 2$ and $\mathrm{Sym}^{rn}(E)$ is semistable on the generic fiber, $H^1(Y, L^n \otimes \pi^* N)$ is torsion module. Thus it is sufficient to see that

$$\deg_{L^2}(H^0(L^n \otimes \pi^* N)) \leq O(n^r \log n).$$

Since L is nef and $(L^r) = 0$ on the generic fiber, we have

$$\mathrm{rk}\, H^0(Y, L^n \otimes \pi^* N) \leq O(n^{r-1}).$$

Hence Theorem 4.1 implies that

$$\deg_{L^2}(H^0(Y, L^n \otimes \pi^* N)) \leq O(n^r \log n).$$

Thus we get (b), which completes the proof of the main theorem. □

Corollary 8.9. *Let K be an algebraic number field, O_K the ring of integers of K, and $f : X \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(O_K)$ an arithmetic surface. Let E be a torsion free sheaf and h a Hermitian metric on X. If X is regular and $E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is semistable on the geometric generic fiber $X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ of f, then we have an inequality*

$$\widehat{c}_2(E, h) - \frac{r-1}{2r} \widehat{c}_1(E, h)^2 \geq 0,$$

where $r = \mathrm{rk}\, E$.

Proof. Let $E^{\vee\vee}$ be the double dual of E. Since X is a regular scheme of dimension 2, $E^{\vee\vee}$ is locally free and $T = E^{\vee\vee}/E$ is a finite module. Moreover, $\widehat{c}_1(E, h) = \widehat{c}_1(E^{\vee\vee}, h)$ and $\widehat{c}_2(E, h) = \widehat{c}_2(E^{\vee\vee}, h) + \log \#(T)$. Thus we have our corollary. □

9. ARITHMETIC SECOND CHERN CHARACTER OF SEMISTABLE VECTOR BUNDLES

Let K be an algebraic number field and O_K the ring of integers. Let $f : X \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(O_K)$ be a regular arithmetic surface and E a torsion free sheaf on X. We denote by $\operatorname{Herm}(E)$ the set of all Hermitian metrics of E. We set

$$\overline{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E) = \sup_{h \in \operatorname{Herm}(E)} \widehat{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E, h) \quad (\in (-\infty, \infty]).$$

First of all, we will see several properties of $\overline{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E)$ when E is semistable on the geometric generic fiber.

Proposition 9.1. *With being notation as above, we assume that $E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is semistable and $\deg(E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) = 0$. Then, we have the following.*

1. $\widehat{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E, h) \leq 0$ *for every* $h \in \operatorname{Herm}(E)$. *Moreover, the equality holds if and only if* $\widehat{c}_1(E, h)^2 = \widehat{c}_2(E, h) = 0$.
2. $\overline{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E) \leq 0$.
3. *For* $h \in \operatorname{Herm}(E)$, $\overline{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E) = \widehat{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E, h)$ *if and only if h is Einstein-Hermitian.*
4. *If* $\operatorname{rk} E = 1$, *then* $\overline{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E) \leq -[K : \mathbb{Q}] \operatorname{Height}([E|_K])$, *where* Height *is the Néron-Tate height function on* $\operatorname{Pic}^0(Y)$. *Moreover, the equality holds if and only if E is locally free and* $\deg(E|_C) = 0$ *for all vertical curves C on X.*
5. *If there is a filtration of E: $0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_{l-1} \subset E_l = E$ such that E_i/E_{i-1} is torsion free and* $\deg((E_i/E_{i-1})|_K) = 0$ *for every i, then we have*
$$\overline{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \overline{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E_i/E_{i-1}).$$
6. *We assume that E is locally free. Let K' be a finite extension field of K, X' a desingularization of $X \times_{O_K} O_{K'}$, and $g : X' \to X$ the induced morphism. Then, we have*
$$\overline{\operatorname{ch}}_2(g^*(E)) = [K' : K]\overline{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E).$$

Proof. (1) First, we will see that $\widehat{c}_1(E, h)^2 \leq 0$. We set

$$(E', h') = (\det(E), \det(h)) \oplus (\mathcal{O}_X, \operatorname{can}_K).$$

Then, since E' is semistable, by Corollary 8.9, we get

$$\widehat{c}_1(E, h)^2 = \widehat{c}_1(E', h')^2 \leq 4\widehat{c}_2(E', h') = 0.$$

Hence, by virtue of Corollary 8.9,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E, h) &= \frac{1}{2}\widehat{c}_1(E, h)^2 - \widehat{c}_2(E, h) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2r}\widehat{c}_1(E, h)^2 - \left(\widehat{c}_2(E, h) - \frac{r-1}{2r}\widehat{c}_1(E, h)^2\right) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

(2) This is a direct consequence of (1).

(3) We fix a Hermitian metric k of E. Then, since $\deg(L_K) = 0$, by Lemma 6.1,

$$\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E, h) - \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E, k) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\sigma \in K_\infty} DL(E_\sigma, h_\sigma, k_\sigma; \Phi_\sigma).$$

Thus, $\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E, h) = \overline{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E)$ if and only if $DL(E_\sigma, h_\sigma, k_\sigma; \Phi_\sigma)$ gives the absolute minimal value for every $\sigma \in K_\infty$. Thus, we obtain (3).

(4) Let h be an Einstein-Hermitian metric of E. By (3), we have $\overline{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E) = \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E, h)$. Let $E^{\vee\vee}$ be the double dual of E. Then, $\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E, h) \leq \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E^{\vee\vee})$ and the equality holds if and only if $E = E^{\vee\vee}$. So we may assume that E is locally free. Here, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 9.2. *Let* (L, h) *a Hermitian line bundle on* X*. If* h *is Einstein-Hermitian and* $\deg(L|_C) = 0$ *for all vertical curves* C *on* X*, then*

$$\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(L, h) = -[K : \mathbb{Q}]\,\mathrm{Height}([L_K]).$$

Proof. For example, see [Fa1] and [Hr]. □

Let $\{q_1, \ldots, q_l\}$ be the set of all critical values of f. Let $\mathrm{Supp}(X_{q_t}) = C_1^{(t)} + \cdots + C_{e_t}^{(t)}$ be the irreducible decomposition of the fiber X_{q_t}. Then, since $\deg(E|_K) = 0$, we can find rational numbers $\{a_i^{(t)}\}$ such that

$$(E \cdot C_j^{(t)}) + (\sum_{i=1}^{e_t} a_i^{(t)} C_i^{(t)} \cdot C_j^{(t)}) = 0$$

for all t and j. Let n be a positive integer such that $n \cdot a_i^{(t)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all t and i. We set

$$L = E^n \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\sum_{t=1}^{l} \sum_{i=1}^{e_t} n a_i^{(t)} C_i^{(t)}).$$

Then, due to Lemma 9.2, we have

$$\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(L, h^n) = -[K : \mathbb{Q}]\,\mathrm{Height}([L_K]) = -n^2 [K : \mathbb{Q}]\,\mathrm{Height}([E_K]).$$

On the other hand, since $\deg(L|_C) = 0$ for all vertical curves C on X, we have

$$\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(L, h^n) + \frac{n^2}{2} (\sum_{t=1}^{l} \sum_{i=1}^{e_t} a_i^{(t)} C_i^{(t)})^2 = n^2 \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E, h).$$

Let $\sum_{t=1}^{l}\sum_{i=1}^{e_t} a_i^{(t)} C_i^{(t)} = D_+ - D_-$ be the decomposition of $\sum_{t=1}^{l}\sum_{i=1}^{e_t} a_i^{(t)} C_i^{(t)}$ such that D_+ and D_- are effective and that $\mathrm{Supp}(D_+)$ and $\mathrm{Supp}(D_-)$ have no common component. Then,

$$(\sum_{t=1}^{l}\sum_{i=1}^{e_t} a_i^{(t)} C_i^{(t)})^2 = (D_+^2) + (D_-^2) - 2(D_+ \cdot D_-) \leq 0.$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if

$$(D_+ \cdot C) = (D_- \cdot C) = 0$$

for all vertical curves C on X. Thus we have (4).

(5) Let h be a Hermitian metric of E. Let h_i be the sub-metric of E_i induced by h and k_i the quotient metric of E_i/E_{i-1} induced by h_i. Then, by Corollary 7.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E,h) &\leq \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E_1/E_0,k_1) + \cdots + \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E_l/E_{l-1},k_l)\\ &\leq \overline{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E_1/E_0) + \cdots + \overline{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E_l/E_{l-1})\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\overline{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{l} \overline{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E_i/E_{i-1}).$$

In order to consider the converse inequality of the above, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 9.3. *Let* $0 \to S \to E \to Q \to 0$ *an exact sequence of torsion free sheaves on* X. *Let* h' *and* h'' *be Hermitian metrics of* S *and* Q *respectively. If* $\deg(S_K) = \deg(E_K) = \deg(Q_K) = 0$, *then there is a family* $\{h_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ *of Hermitian metrics of* E *with*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E,h_t) = \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2((S,h') \oplus (Q,h'')).$$

Proof. For $\sigma \in K_\infty$, let $E_\sigma = S_\sigma \oplus P_\sigma$ be a decomposition of E_σ as C^∞-vector bundles. Then, since P_σ is isomorphic to Q_σ, using the above decomposition, we define a Hermitian metric h_t of E by $e^t \cdot h' \oplus h''$. Let A_t be the second fundamental form of

$$0 \to (S, e^t \cdot h') \to (E,h_t) \to (Q,h'') \to 0.$$

If we denote A_0 by A, then it is easy to see that $A_t = A$ and $(A_t)^* = e^{-t}A^*$. Thus, by Proposition 7.3, we have

$$\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E,h_t) - \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2((S,e^t\cdot h') \oplus (Q,h'')) = -\frac{e^{-t}}{2\pi}\sum_{\sigma\in K_\infty} ||A_\sigma||^2.$$

On the other hand, since $\deg(S_K) = 0$, by an easy calculation, we obtain $\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(S, e^t \cdot h') = \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(S, h')$. Thus, we get our lemma. $\square$

Let us start to prove the inequality

$$\overline{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{l} \overline{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E_i/E_{i-1}).$$

by induction on l. Let h' and h'' be arbitrary Hermitian metrics of E_{l-1} and Q_l respectively. Then, by Lemma 9.3, there is a family $\{h_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ of Hermitian metric of E such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E, h_t) = \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E_{l-1}, h') + \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(Q_l, h'').$$

Therefore, we have

$$\overline{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E) \geq \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E_{l-1}, h') + \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(Q_l, h'')$$

Since h' and h'' are arbitrary, it follows that

$$\overline{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E) \geq \overline{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E_{l-1}) + \overline{\mathrm{ch}}_2(Q_l)$$

Thus, by hypothesis of induction, we have (5).

(6) Let k be a fixed Hermitian metric of E. For each $\sigma \in K_\infty$, we set

$$\overline{DL}(E_\sigma) = \inf_{h_\sigma} DL(E_\sigma, h_\sigma, k_\sigma; \Phi_\sigma),$$

where h_σ runs over all Hermitian metrics of E_σ. Let $\{h_n\}$ be a sequence of Hermitian metrics of E with

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E, h_n) = \overline{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E).$$

Since

$$\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E, h_n) - \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E, k) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\sigma\in K_\infty} DL(E_\sigma, (h_n)_\sigma, k_\sigma; \Phi_\sigma),$$

for each $\sigma \in K_\infty$, we have

$$\overline{DL}(E_\sigma) = \lim_{n\to\infty} DL(E_\sigma, (h_n)_\sigma, k_\sigma; \Phi_\sigma).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\overline{DL}(g^*(E)_{\sigma'}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} DL(E_{\sigma'|_K}, (h_n)_{\sigma'|_K}, k_{\sigma'|_K}; \Phi_{\sigma'|_K})$$

for all $\sigma' \in K'_\infty$ because $\overline{DL}(g^*(E)_{\sigma'}) = \overline{DL}(E_{\sigma'|_K})$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mathrm{ch}}_2(g^*(E)) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(g^*(E, h_n)) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} [K' : K]\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E, h_n) \\ &= [K' : K]\overline{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E)\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have (6). $\square$

Corollary 9.4. *Let* $f : X \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(O_K)$ *be a regular arithmetic surface and* E *a torsion free sheaf on* X *such* $E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ *is semistable and* $\deg(E_K) = 0$*. If there is a filtration of* E*:* $0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_{r-1} \subset E_r = E$ *such that* E_i/E_{i-1} *is torsion free of rank* 1 *and* $\deg(\left.(E_i/E_{i-1})\right|_K) = 0$ *for every* i*, then we have*

$$\widehat{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E) \leq -[K : \mathbb{Q}] \sum_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{Height}((E_i/E_{i-1})_K).$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if E_i/E_{i-1} *is locally free and* $\deg(\left.(E_i/E_{i-1})\right|_C) = 0$ *for all vertical curves* C *on* X*.*

Proof. By (5) of Proposition 9.1, we have

$$\widehat{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \widehat{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E_i/E_{i-1}).$$

Moreover, due to (4) of Proposition 9.1, for every i,

$$\widehat{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E_i/E_{i-1}) \leq -[K : \mathbb{Q}] \operatorname{Height}((E_i/E_{i-1})_K),$$

and the equality holds if and only if E_i/E_{i-1} is locally free and $\deg(\left.(E_i/E_{i-1})\right|_C) = 0$ for all vertical curves C on X. Thus we have our corollary. □

Definition 9.5. Let Y be a smooth algebraic curves over an algebraic number field K. Let $\overline{\boldsymbol{M}}_{Y/K}(r, d)$ be the moduli scheme of semistable vector bundles on Y with rank r and degree d (cf. [Ma2]).

We would like to consider $\overline{\boldsymbol{M}}_{Y/K}(r, 0)$ when Y is an elliptic curve. For this purpose, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 9.6. *Let* C *be a smooth projective curve of genus 1 over an algebraically closed field and* E *a rank* r *semistable vector bundle on* X *with* $\deg(E) = 0$*. Then, there is a filtration of* E*:*

$$0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_{r-1} \subset E_r = E$$

such that E_i/E_{i-1} *is a locally free of rank* 1 *and* $\deg(E_i/E_{i-1}) = 0$*.*

Proof. We prove this proposition by induction on $\operatorname{rk} E$. Let Q be a minimal quotient line bundle of E and S the kernel of $E \to Q$. Then, by [MS],

$$\deg(Q) - \frac{\deg(S)}{r-1} = \deg(Q) \cdot \frac{r}{r-1} \leq 1.$$

Since $\deg(Q)$ is non-negative integer, we have $\deg(Q) = 0$. Thus S is semistable and $\deg(S) = 0$. Therefore, S has a desired filtration by hypothesis of induction. Hence, we obtain our lemma. □

The above lemma shows us that

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{M}}_{Y/K}(r,0)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) = \overbrace{\operatorname{Pic}^0(Y)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Pic}^0(Y)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})}^{r \text{ times}} / \mathfrak{S}_r,$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_r$ is the rth symmetric group. Thus, $\overline{\boldsymbol{M}}_{Y/K}(r,0)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ has the canonical height function by using Néron-Tate height, that is,

$$\operatorname{Height}(e) := \operatorname{Height}(l_1) + \cdots + \operatorname{Height}(l_r)$$

for an element $e = (l_1, \cdots, l_r)$ of $\overline{\boldsymbol{M}}_{Y/K}(r,0)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. In terms of this height function Height of $\overline{\boldsymbol{M}}_{Y/K}(r,0)$, we have

Corollary 9.7. *Let $f : X \to \operatorname{Spec}(O_K)$ be a regular arithmetic surface with the genus of the generic fiber being one, and E a torsion free sheaf of rank r on X. Then, we have*

$$\widehat{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E) \leq -[K : \mathbb{Q}] \operatorname{Height}([E_K]),$$

where $[E_K]$ is the class of E_K in $\overline{\boldsymbol{M}}_{X_K/K}(r,0)$. Moreover, the equality holds if and only if there is a finite field extension K' of K with the following properties:

- (a) *Let X' be a desingularization of $X \times_{O_K} O_{K'}$ and $g : X' \to X$ the induced morphism.*
- (b) *There is a filtration of $g^*(E) : 0 = E'_0 \subset E'_1 \subset \cdots \subset E'_{r-1} \subset E'_r = g^*(E)$ such that E'_i/E'_{i-1} is locally free of rank 1 and $\deg((E'_i/E'_{i-1})_K) = 0$ for every i.*
- (c) *$\deg(\left.(E'_i/E'_{i-1})\right|_C) = 0$ for all vertical curves of X'.*

Proof. By (6) of Proposition 9.1 and Lemma 9.6, we may assume that E_K has a filtration $0 = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_{r-1} \subset F_r = E_K$ such that F_i/F_{i-1} is locally free of rank 1 and $\deg(F_i/F_{i-1}) = 0$ for every i. Therefore, there is a filtration of $E : 0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_{r-1} \subset E_r = E$ such that E_i/E_{i-1} is torsion free and $(E_i)_K = F_i$. Thus by Corollary 9.4, we have our corollary. □

Generalizing the above corollary, we would like to pose the following question.

Question 9.8. Let $f : X \to \operatorname{Spec}(O_K)$ be a regular arithmetic surface and E a semistable vector bundle on X. We have two questions.

1. Is there a canonical height function on $\overline{\boldsymbol{M}}_{X_K/K}(r,0)$?
2. If it exists, is there a relation between $\widehat{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E)$ and the canonical height function?

10. Torsion vector bundles

In this section, we consider conditions for the equality of $\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_2(E,h) \leq 0$. First of all, let us consider the case where E is a line bundle.

Proposition 10.1. *Let K be an algebraic number field and O_K the ring of integers. Let $f : X \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(O_K)$ be a regular arithmetic surface and (L,h) a Hermitian line bundle on X with $\deg(L_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) = 0$. Then, $\widehat{c}_1(L,h)^2 = 0$ if and only if*

i) *h is Einstein-Hermitian,*
ii) *$\deg(L|_C) = 0$ for all vertical curves C on X, and*
iii) *L_K is a torsion point of $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X_K)$.*

Proof. First, we assume the conditions i), ii) and iii). By Lemma 9.2, we get

$$\widehat{c}_1(L,h)^2 = -2[K:\mathbb{Q}]\,\mathrm{Height}([L_K]) = 0.$$

Next we assume that $\widehat{c}_1(L,h)^2 = 0$. By (3) of Proposition 9.1, h is Einstein-Hermitian. Moreover, by virtue of (4) of Proposition 9.1, we have $\deg(L|_C) = 0$ for all vertical curves C of f. Hence, by Lemma 9.2, we get

$$\widehat{c}_1(L,h)^2 = -2[K:\mathbb{Q}]\,\mathrm{Height}([L_K]),$$

which implies $\mathrm{Height}([L_K]) = 0$. Therefore, L_K is a torsion of $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X_K)$. □

Definition 10.2. Let M be a complex manifold and E a rank r flat vector bundle on M. The vector bundle E defines a representation

$$\rho : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$$

of the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ of M. E is said to be *of torsion type* if the image of ρ is a finite group.

Lemma 10.3. *Let X be a smooth algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$ and E a flat vector bundle on X. Then, E is of torsion type if and only if there is a dominant morphism of algebraic varieties $f : Y \to X$ over $\mathbb{C}$ such that the composition of homomorphisms:*

$$\pi_1(Y) \to \pi_1(X) \to \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$$

is trivial.

Proof. First we assume that E is of torsion type. Then, since $\mathrm{Ker}(\rho)$ has a finite index in $\pi_1(X)$, there is a finite etale covering $f : Z \to X$ of algebraic varieties such that $\pi_1(Z) = \mathrm{Ker}(\rho)$. Thus we have the first assertion.

Next we assume that there is a dominant morphism of algebraic varieties $f : Y \to X$ over $\mathbb{C}$ such that the composition of homomorphisms $\pi_1(Y) \to \pi_1(X) \to \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$ is trivial. By Proposition 2.9.1 of [Kol], the image of $\pi_1(Y) \to \pi_1(X)$ has a finite index in $\pi_1(X)$. Thus the image of ρ is a finite group. □

Proposition 10.4. *Let X be a smooth projective curve over an algebraically closed field k with $k \subset \mathbb{C}$. Let E be a rank r vector bundle on X such that E is semistable and* $\deg(E) = 0$*. Then, the following are equivalent.*

(a) *There is a finite etale covering $f : Z \to X$ of X over k with $f^*(E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z^{\oplus r}$.*
(b) *There is a surjective morphism $g : Y \to X$ of smooth projective varieties over k with $g^*(E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r}$.*
(c) *There is a finite etale covering $f' : Z' \to X_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ such that $f'^*(E_{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Z'}^{\oplus r}$.*
(d) *There is a surjective morphism $g' : Y' \to X_{\mathbb{C}}$ of smooth projective varieties over $\mathbb{C}$ with $g'^*(E_{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Y'}^{\oplus r}$.*
(e) *$E_{\mathbb{C}}$ is flat and of torsion type.*

Proof. First of all, we prepare the following lemma.

Lemma 10.5. *Let X be a smooth projective curve over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and E a rank r vector bundle on X. If E is semistable,* $\deg(E) = 0$ *and $\pi^*(E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus r}$ for some finite covering $\pi : Y \to X$, then E is poly-stable.*

Proof. We prove this lemma by induction on $\operatorname{rk} E$. Clearly we may assume that E is not stable. Moreover, we may assume that $\pi : Y \to X$ is a Galois covering. Since E is not stable, there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \to F \to E \to Q \to 0$$

such that F and Q are locally free, $\deg(F) = \deg(Q) = 0$ and that F and Q are semistable. Then, it is easy to see that the exact sequence:

$$0 \to \pi^*(F) \to \pi^*(E) \to \pi^*(Q) \to 0$$

splits, $\pi^*(F) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus s}$ and that $\pi^*(Q) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus t}$, where $s = \operatorname{rk} F$ and $t = \operatorname{rk} Q$. Hence F and Q are poly-stable by hypothesis of induction. Therefore, it is sufficient to see that the natural homomorphism

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_X(Q, F) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_Y(\pi^*(Q), \pi^*(E))$$

is injective because the injectivity of the above homomorphism implies that the exact sequence

$$0 \to F \to E \to Q \to 0$$

splits. Since π is the Galois covering, we have a trace map $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y) \to \mathcal{O}_X$, which shows us that an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y) \to \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y)/\mathcal{O}_X \to 0$$

splits. Therefore, $H^1(X, F \otimes Q^\vee) \longrightarrow H^1(X, F \otimes Q^\vee \otimes \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y))$ is injective. Thus $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(Q, F) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_Y(\pi^*(Q), \pi^*(E))$ is injective. $\square$

Let us start the proof of Proposition 10.4. (a) $\Longrightarrow$ (b), (b) $\Longrightarrow$ (d) and (e) $\Longrightarrow$ (c) are trivial. So it is sufficient to show (d) $\Longrightarrow$ (e) and (c) $\Longrightarrow$ (a).

(d) $\Longrightarrow$ (e) : By Lemma 10.5, $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ is poly-stable. Thus $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a flat vector bundle. So $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ comes from a representation

$$\rho : \pi_1(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \to \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$$

of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ of $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. Since ${g'}^*(E_{\mathbb{C}})$ is also flat, it has an Einstein Hermitian metric h. On the other hand, since ${g'}^*(E_{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Y'}^{\oplus r}$, by [Ko, Chap. V, Proposition 8.2], $({g'}^*(E_{\mathbb{C}}), h)$ is isometric to $(\mathcal{O}_{Y'}, h_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathcal{O}_{Y'}, h_r)$ as Einstein-Hermitian vector bundles, which shows us that the composition of homomorphisms:

$$\pi_1(Y') \to \pi_1(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \to \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$$

is trivial. Thus, by Lemma 10.3, $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ is of torsion type.

(c) $\Longrightarrow$ (a) : Clearly we can find a field F such that $k \subset F \subset \mathbb{C}$, F is finitely generated over k and that $f' : Z' \to X_{\mathbb{C}}$ and ${f'}^*(E_{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Z'}^{\oplus r}$ are defined over F. Thus there are algebraic varieties T and $\widetilde{Z}$ over k and projective morphisms $h : \widetilde{Z} \to T$ and $\tilde{f} : \widetilde{Z} \to X \times T$ over k with the following properties.

(1) The function field of T is F.
(2) If $p : X \times T \to T$ and $q : X \times T \to X$ are the natural projections, then we have $p \cdot \tilde{f} = h$.
(3) $\tilde{f} \times_T \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) : \widetilde{Z} \times_T \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \to (X \times T) \times_T \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ is nothing more that $f' : Z' \to X_{\mathbb{C}}$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{Z} \arrow[r, "\tilde{f}"] \arrow[d, "h"'] & X \times T \arrow[d, "p"] \\
T \arrow[r, equal] & T
\end{tikzcd}$$

At the generic point η of T, we have $\tilde{f}_\eta$ is etale and $(\tilde{f}^*(q^*(E)))_\eta \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Z}_\eta}^{\oplus r}$. Hence there is a non-empty open set U of T such that $\tilde{f}_t$ is etale and $(\tilde{f}^*(q^*(E)))_t \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Z}_t}^{\oplus r}$ for all $t \in U$. Therefore, if we choose a closed point t_0 of U, we have (a). $\square$

Definition 10.6. Let K be an algebraic number field and O_K the ring of integers. Let $f : X \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(O_K)$ be a regular arithmetic surface and E a vector bundle on X. E is said to be *of torsion type* if $E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ satisfies one of equivalent conditions (a) – (d) in Proposition 10.4.

Question 10.7. Let K be an algebraic number field and O_K the ring of integers. Let $f : X \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(O_K)$ be a regular arithmetic surface and (E, h) a Hermitian vector bundle on X such that $\deg(E_K) = 0$ and $E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is semistable. Then, if $\widehat{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E, h) = 0$, is E of torsion type?

For this question, we have the following partial answer.

Proposition 10.8. *Let K be an algebraic number field and O_K the ring of integers. Let $f : X \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(O_K)$ be a regular arithmetic surface and (E, h) a rank r Hermitian vector bundle on X such that $\deg(E_K) = 0$ and $E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is semistable. Assume that there is a filtration of $E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$:*

$$0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \cdots \subset E_{r-1} \subset E_r = E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$$

such that E_i/E_{i-1} is a locally free sheaf of rank 1 and $\deg(E_i/E_{i-1}) = 0$ for every $1 \le i \le r$. Then, if $\widehat{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E, h) = 0$, E is of torsion type.

Proof. We may assume that the filtration is defined over K. Hence we can construct a filtration of E:

$$0 = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_{r-1} \subset F_r = E$$

such that $F_i|_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} = E_i$, F_i is locally free and that F_i/F_{i-1} is torsion free. Let h_{F_i} be the induced sub-metric of h and h_i the quotient metric of F_i/F_{i-1} induced by h_{F_i}. Let L_i be the double dual of F_i/F_{i-1}. Then, by Corollary 7.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \widehat{\operatorname{ch}}_2(E, h) &\le \widehat{\operatorname{ch}}_2((F_1/F_0, h_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (F_r/F_{r-1}, h_r)) \\ &\le \widehat{\operatorname{ch}}_2((L_1, h_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (L_r, h_r)) \le 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\widehat{\operatorname{ch}}_2((L_1, h_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (L_r, h_r)) = \frac{1}{2}(\widehat{c}_1(L_1, h_1)^2 + \cdots + \widehat{c}_1(L_r, h_r)^2) = 0$$

and (E, h) is isometric to $(L_1, h_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (L_r, h_r)$ on each infinite fiber. Since $\widehat{c}_1(L_i, h_i)^2 \le 0$ for each i, we obtain $\widehat{c}_1(L_i, h_i)^2 = 0$. Therefore, by Proposition 10.1, $(L_i)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is of torsion type. Therefore $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ is torsion type because $E_{\mathbb{C}} = (L_1)_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \cdots \oplus (L_r)_{\mathbb{C}}$. □

References

[BC] R. Bott and S. S. Chern, *Hermitian vector bundles and the equidistribution of the zero of their holomorphic sections*, Acta Math. **114** (1965), 71–112.

[BSG] J.-M. Bismut, H. Gillet and C. Soulé, *Analytic torsion and holomorphic determinant bundles I : Bott-Chern forms and analytic torsion*, Comm. Math. Phys. **115** (1988), 49–78.

[BV] J.-M. Bismut and E. Vasserot, *The asymptotics of the Ray-Singer analytic torsion associated with high power of a positive line bundle*, Commun. Math. Phys. **125** (1989), 355–367.

[Bo] F. A. Bogomolov, *Holomorphic tensors and vector bundles on projective varieties*, Math. USSR-Izv. **13** (1978), 499–555.

[Do83] S. K. Donaldson, *A new proof of a theorem of Narasimham and Seshadri*, J. Diff. Geometry **18** (1983), 269–278.

[Do85] ———, *Anti self-dual Yang-Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable bundles*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **50** (1985), 1–26.

[Fa1] G. Faltings, *Calculus on arithmetic surfaces*, Ann. of Math. **119** (1984), 387–424.

[Fa2] G. Faltings, *Lectures on the arithmetic Riemann-Roth Theorem*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 127, Princeton.

[Gi] D. Gieseker, *On a theorem of Bogomolov on Chern classes of stable bundles*, Amer. J. Math. **101** (1979), 79–85.

[GS88] H. Gillet and C. Soulé, *Amplitude arithmétique*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **t.307, Série I** (1988), 887–890.

[GS90a] ———, *Arithmetic Intersection Theory*, Publ. Math. (IHES) **72** (1990), 93–174.

[GS90b] ———, *Characteristic classes for algebraic vector bundles with hermitian metric, I, II,*, Ann. of Math. **131** (1990), 163–203, 205–238.

[GS92] ———, *An arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem*, Invent. math. **110** (1992), 473–543.

[Gr] D. R. Grayson, *Reduction theory using semistability*, Comment. Math. Helvetici **59** (1984), 600–634.

[Hr] P. Hriljac, *Heights and Arakelov's intersection theory*, Amer. J. Math. **107** (1985), 23–38.

[Ko] S. Kobayashi, *Differential geometry of complex vector bundles*, Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, vol. 15, Iwanami Shoten, Publishers and Princeton University Press.

[Kol] J. Kollár, *Shafarevich maps and plurigenera of algebraic varieties*, preprint (1992).

[Ma1] M. Maruyama, *Openness of a family of torsion free sheaves*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **16** (1976), 627-637.

[Ma2] M. Maruyama, *Moduli of stable sheaves, I, II*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **17, 18** (1977, 1978), 91-126, 557-614.

[MS] S. Mukai and F. Sakai, *Maximal subbundles of vector bundles on a curve*, manuscripta math. **52**, 251-256.

[NS] M. S. Narasimhan and C. S. Seshadri, *Stable and unitary vector bundles on compact Riemann surfaces*, Ann. of Math. **82** (1965), 540–567.

[SABK] C. Soulé, D. Abramovich, J.-F. Burnol and J. Kramer, *Lectures on Arakelov Geometry*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, vol. 33, Cambridge University Press.

[St] U. Stuhler, *Eine Bemerkung zur Reductionstheorie quadratischer Formen*, Arch. der Math. **27** (1976), 604–610.

[UY] K. Uhlenbeck and S.-T. Yau, *On the existence of Hermitian Yang-Mills connections in stable vector bundles*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **39** (1986), 257–293.

[Vo] P. Vojta, *Siegel's theorem in the compact case*, Ann. of Math. **133** (1991), 509–548.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 606-01, JAPAN

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of California, Los Angeles, 405 Hilgard Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90024, USA

E-mail address: `moriwaki@math.ucla.edu`